EXHIBIT WW



Transcript of Nancy Dunham

Date: April 17, 2023

Case: Strickland -v- United States of America, et al.

Planet Depos

Phone: 888.433.3767

Email: transcripts@planetdepos.com

www.planetdepos.com

```
1
     FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
2
                 ASHEVILLE DIVISION
3
      ----X
4
    CARYN DEVINS STRICKLAND, :
5
                   Plaintiff, :
6
                           : Case No.
       V.
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, : 1:20CV66
7
8
    et al.,
9
                   Defendants.:
10
11
             Deposition of NANCY DUNHAM
12
13
                 Conducted Virtually
               Monday, April 17, 2023
14
                    2:08 p.m. EST
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
    Job No.: 488113
24
    Pages: 1 - 202
25
    Reported by: Marney Alena Mederos, RPR, CRR
```

```
Deposition of NANCY DUNHAM, conducted
1
2
     virtually.
3
4
5
6
          Pursuant to subpoena and notice, before
    Marney Alena Mederos, Registered Professional
7
     Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, and
8
    Notary Public in and for the State of Maryland.
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	APPEARANCES
2	ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF:
3	JACOB E. GERSEN, ESQUIRE
4	10 Fayerweather Street
5	Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138
6	(857) 288-9151
7	
8	ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS:
9	MADELINE M. McMAHON, ESQUIRE
10	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
11	CIVIL DIVISION, FEDERAL PROGRAMS BRANCH
12	1100 L Street, N.W.
13	Washington, D.C. 20005
14	(202) 451-7722
15	
16	ALSO PRESENT:
17	SOPHIA GONZALES, AV TECHNICIAN
18	CARYN DEVINS STRICKLAND, PLAINTIFF
19	JEANNIE SUK GERSEN, ESQUIRE
20	COOPER STRICKLAND, ESQUIRE
21	OLIVIA WARREN, ESQUIRE
22	KRISTIN MANNHERZ, ESQUIRE
23	PHILIP HERTZ
24	
25	

1	CONTENTS		
2	EXAMINATION OF NANCY DUNHAM:	PAGE	
3	By Ms. McMahon	5	
4	By Mr. Gersen	115	
5			
6	EXHIBITS		
7	(Attached to transcript)		
8	GOVERNMENT DEPOSITION EXHIBIT	PAGE	
9	Exhibit 1 Handwritten notes	39	
10	Exhibit 2 E-mails	52	
11	Exhibit 3 Handwritten notes	60	
12	Exhibit 4 E-mail	73	
13	Exhibit 5 Text messages	76	
14	Exhibit 6 E-mails	79	
15	Exhibit 7 Handwritten notes	82	
16	Exhibit 8 E-mail	99	
17	Exhibit 9 E-mails	104	
18	Exhibit 10 E-mails	110	
19	PLAINTIFF'S DEPOSITION EXHIBIT	PAGE	
20	Exhibit 3 E-mails	122	
21			
22	Exhibit 39 E-mail with attachment	141	
23			
24			
25			

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	Whereupon,
3	NANCY DUNHAM
4	being first duly sworn or affirmed to testify to
5	the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the
6	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
7	EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS
8	BY MS. McMAHON:
9	Q Good afternoon or good morning to
10	you, Ms. Dunham
11	A Good morning.
12	Q from the East Coast.
13	I mentioned this earlier. My name is
14	Madeline McMahon. You can call me Maddie. I'm an
15	attorney with the U.S. Department of Justice, and
16	I represent the Defendants in the Strickland vs.
17	U.S. matter that's currently pending in the
18	Western District of North Carolina.
19	Could you state and spell your name for
20	the record, please?
21	A Nancy Dunham, N-A-N-C-Y, Dunham,
22	D-U-N-H-A-M.
23	Q Are you represented by counsel?
24	A I am not.
25	Q Have you ever had your deposition

1	taken?
2	A I don't believe I have. I have
3	provided testimony through written declarations,
4	but I don't believe I've ever had my deposition
5	taken.
6	Q But you have taken them, so you're
7	familiar with
8	A I I have taken many, and things have
9	changed a lot. We used to be all in one room.
10	Q Sometimes we still are, but sometimes
11	when when the deponent is in Hawaii, we don't
12	get so lucky.
13	So I'm going to try to take a break
14	every 45 minutes or so, but please let me know if
15	you need a break, and we will be happy to do that,
16	and I'll let you know from my end as well.
17	A Okay.
18	Q I will be asking you a series of
19	questions to which you are under oath to provide
20	full and complete answers.
21	If you do not understand any question
22	that I ask you, just please let me know before you
23	respond, and I will explain or rephrase the
24	question.
25	You also just took an oath.

1	Do you understand the nature of the
2	oath?
3	A I do.
4	Q It requires you to fully answer each
5	question to the extent you can, and if you're not
6	sure of an answer or don't have a complete answer,
7	you still must answer the question to the extent
8	you can.
9	Do you understand this?
10	A I do.
11	Q And as you can see, the court reporter
12	here is recording all that is said, but because
13	she can only record our words, please answer each
14	question with a verbal response.
15	A I understand.
16	Q It's also as we mentioned earlier,
17	it's very important that we don't talk over each
18	other, and I'll ask you to allow me to fully
19	answer to fully ask my question before you give
20	your answer, and I'll let you answer it before I
21	ask my next question.
22	Is that okay?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Have you taken or do you intend to take
25	any medication that may affect your ability to

```
1
     testify accurately or honestly?
2
          Α
                No.
3
                Is there any other reason why you
          0
4
     cannot provide your best and most accurate
5
     testimony today?
6
          Α
                No.
7
                I would say that I am testifying
8
     100 percent from my memory. Since I'm not
9
     employed any longer, I don't have access to those
10
     records, and I have not, to my knowledge,
11
     discussed the case with anyone, including the
12
     Plaintiff or anyone involved in the case, other
     than my e-mail correspondence with the DOJ lawyer
13
14
     that contacted me about the deposition.
15
                And I -- I did get one e-mail from
16
     Charlene Hardy, from the AO's Office of General
17
     Counsel, informing me about the case. She sent
18
     me, I think, the Fourth Circuit decision that
     allowed the Plaintiff to move forward, and -- so
19
     that is -- that is it.
20
2.1
                I -- I have not reviewed any documents
22
     as I normally would have done were I still
23
     employed, and it is -- I think it has been almost
2.4
     five years since I left the AO.
25
          Q
                Just so I understand, you mean in
```

1	preparation for this deposition today, you didn't
2	do anything to prepare?
3	A I did not.
4	Q So you didn't talk to any of
5	Plaintiff's attorneys to prepare for this
6	deposition?
7	A I did not.
8	Q Okay. A couple more quick questions.
9	Do you plan to read and sign the
10	deposition after we're finished today?
11	A I'm sorry, ask that again.
12	Q Do you plan to read and sign the
13	deposition after we're finished?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Okay. And as I'm sure you know from
16	your experience, from time to time, counsel may
17	object.
18	After his or her objection I think
19	it's Professor Gersen who's on who may object
20	today I'm going to ask you to go ahead and
21	answer the question until he instructs you not to.
22	Do you understand?
23	A I do.
24	Q So I'd like to start by getting a
25	little bit of background information about you.

1	What's your date of birth?
2	A July 24th, 1955.
3	Q Where do you currently live?
4	A I live in Kamuela, Hawaii, on the big
5	island.
6	Q And where did you go to college?
7	A I went to college at the University of
8	Michigan in Ann Arbor.
9	Q Did you go to law school?
10	A I did. I have a law degree from the
11	University of Connecticut.
12	Q And what did you do after law school?
13	A My first job after law school was I was
14	a teaching Fellow at Temple Law School, so I
15	taught first-year law students, and then as that
16	two-year fellowship progressed, I also taught a
17	number of other classes law school classes to
18	all all variety of law students, first-,
19	second-, and third-year law students.
20	Q Got it.
21	And when what did you do after that?
22	A My first job after teaching was I was a
23	law clerk to a federal judge in the Western
24	District of Michigan, Richard A. Enslen.
25	Q And when did you join the AO?

1	A I started working for the AO in 2014.
2	Q And when did you leave the AO?
3	A I left the AO at the end of May 2019.
4	Actually, I worked a full day on a
5	Wednesday, and then we flew to Hawaii on a
6	Thursday, and we've been here ever since.
7	Q And you're retired now; is that right?
8	A I am retired.
9	Q What was your job at the AO?
10	A I was the fair employment practices
11	officer, and that's kind of a wordy name. It
12	comes from a Truman-level executive order for
13	employment practices.
14	Typically, in the executive branch, the
15	title would have been something like Director of
16	Equal Employment Opportunity or Civil Rights
17	Director.
18	Q Had you worked in any any roles
19	prior that involved similar duties, like relating
20	to fair employment practices or equal opportunity?
21	A Yes. Quite a few of my jobs were
22	exactly that.
23	I I both represented plaintiffs in a
24	law firm in D.C. for about a year. I worked for
25	the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission both

1	as advisor to an EEOC commissioner, and I worked
2	in the Office of Legal Counsel representing EEOC
3	employees, including administrative judges that
4	were sued by plaintiffs.
5	And then I worked mainly in defensive
6	positions for several executive agencies,
7	including EPA, the Department of Commerce.
8	At the Department of Transportation, I
9	was a civil rights advisor to the Secretary of
10	Transportation, and then I also practiced for
11	about two years at EPA as national security
12	lawyer.
13	So I had a top secret SEI clearance,
14	and I did very little employment law/civil rights
15	advising at that time, but the vast majority of my
16	35-year career has involved working for either the
17	federal courts or a federal executive agency, and
18	virtually all of it was related to the law on
19	employment discrimination and civil rights.
20	Q When you say that you worked in a
21	defensive capacity before, what do you mean by
22	that?
23	A I worked in the Offices of General
24	Counsel for the Department of Commerce, for the
25	Department of for the Environmental Protection

1	Agency, and for a short time at EEOC. I worked in
2	their Office of Legal Counsel.
3	So I have I've been on both sides.
4	I've been a plaintiffs' lawyer, class-action
5	lawyer in the District of Columbia, and then I've
6	been a defensive lawyer as well working sometimes
7	with independent litigating authority when I was
8	with the EEOC, but I virtually always worked with
9	an AUSA when I when I represented EPA,
10	Department of Commerce.
11	Q Did you work as the fair employment
12	practices officer the whole time you were at the
13	AO?
14	A Yes. That was my sole job.
15	Q And that job that the job the
16	position had been around since you said
17	President Truman? It was an old position?
18	A No. That's the the wording fair
19	employment practices came from a Truman-era
20	executive order.
21	The position was created in 2014, and I
22	was the first person to hold that position at an
23	executive level. It had been split off in
24	in in various held by various people, and
25	before I came, they consolidated the duties and

1	made it an executive-level position.
2	Q What were the duties?
3	A Well, first of all, the I served as
4	the advisor to the deputy director and the
5	director on civil rights matters.
6	We also my office provided advice to
7	the various courts across the country except for
8	the Supreme Court, and within the AO, we not only
9	provided advice, but we processed the complaints
10	of AO employees.
11	So we were like a in essence, a
12	civil rights processing office, as well as we did
13	the the celebration events for for, say,
14	Women's History Month and African-American History
15	Month, and so forth.
16	Q When you say that you processed the
17	complaints of AO employees, what do you mean by
18	that?
19	A Well, the office actually was the
20	neutral adjudicator of those complaints, so we
21	accepted the complaints. My office did first
22	of all, did EEO counseling before the formal
23	complaint was filed, and then we arranged for
24	hearings for AO employees who brought
25	discrimination complaints, and then continued to

1	process them.
2	Certain AO employees had right to file
3	a civil action, most did not, and so to the extent
4	that someone had a right to go into federal court,
5	we sent that complaint we processed the
6	complaint until the point that there was a final
7	decision by the AO director, and then it went to
8	federal court and we lost jurisdiction.
9	But most of the cases stopped after
10	final decision by the AO director. And, again,
11	that was a
12	Q You said that go ahead.
13	A very different process than than
14	the judiciary employees. The judiciary employees
15	had a separate process, and it was mainly carried
16	out within the Court that held jurisdiction.
17	Q By "held jurisdiction," do you mean the
18	Court that was overseeing their the judiciary
19	employees?
20	For instance, like, if you're employed
21	by District of D.C., it would be overseen by
22	District of D.C. or D.C. Circuit?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Okay. And with respect to those
25	judiciary employees, what was your role?

1	A Well, I I I typically would not
2	get involved in your day-to-day judiciary employee
3	case. I had a staff of lawyers that worked with
4	the judicial offices. We collected discrimination
5	complaint information and published it yearly.
6	We we would occasionally I would
7	occasionally get a question from a senior
8	judiciary official or a judge, and that was not
9	the norm. It was when something really was either
10	complicated or needed you know, needed someone
11	senior to look at it.
12	But my office the lawyers in my
13	office would take questions really on a daily
14	basis from court employees who were processing
15	their own complaints, and we worked on civil
16	rights initiatives.
17	We had a model intern program that
18	that started in the Middle District of Alabama
19	with Judge Myron Thompson, and so we did both
20	civil rights initiatives, and we did we advised
21	the Courts on the processing of their own
22	complaints, and then we published that complaint
23	information on a yearly basis.
24	Q And were you permitted to give legal
25	advice to either Court employees or employees

1	within the AO?
2	A Well, I was a neutral, so I did not
3	represent the AO or the judiciary, and I also did
4	not represent plaintiffs or employees.
5	But in the course of my job, I did rely
6	on my my legal skills, and I did give legal
7	advice and discussed legal issues with the Office
8	of General Counsel, with my bosses who were the
9	deputy director and the director of the AO.
10	Q So I know you mentioned that you had
11	worked in many different roles relating to
12	employment law in several different capacities,
13	and in 2014 you joined the AO as the fair
14	employment practices officer.
15	Did you receive any training when you
16	joined the AO to be the fair employment practices
17	officer?
18	A I believe I did, because what I needed
19	to know as a new executive officer was how the
20	AO functioned, and, you know, what their role was
21	vis-à-vis AO employees versus judiciary employees.
22	So, yes, I recall going to training
23	when I started, and then periodically through my
24	tenure, I would attend training on substantive
25	matters that I was interested in.

1	Q Can you be more specific about the
2	training you received when you started?
3	A Yes. The the AO had several offices
4	that did training for new employees, so I attended
5	those. They tended to be more generic, you know,
6	about how the AO functioned and what the roles
7	were and how the various executive officers worked
8	together.
9	I remember that the former deputy
10	director took me around to every office, and I
11	talked with every office about, you know, what
12	their office did and how they functioned.
13	It's really a fairly comprehensive
14	body. It you know, it there was a lot more
15	to the AO than I knew when I had applied for the
16	job.
17	Q Definitely.
18	And in terms of the subsequent
19	training, was that you know, you mentioned that
20	it was in substantive areas.
21	Would one of those areas be employment
22	law, or what can you give us an example of
23	that?
24	A You know, I can't actually remember,
25	and specifically getting training in employment

1	law, but I know that I was very I was very
2	interested in that general area, employment law,
3	employment litigation, civil rights, and so I know
4	that I if I didn't go to an outside conference,
5	I know that I went to some of the conferences that
6	were held in in the various judicial offices.
7	I also participated in training on a
8	regular basis. I was a speaker at a number of
9	training for AO employees and for judicial
10	employees.
11	Q So you also mention that you left the
12	AO in 2019.
13	Why did you leave?
14	A My husband had practiced law for
15	40 years, and he's a couple years older than I am
16	and was in a position to retire, and he wanted
17	we both wanted to move away from D.C. and to
18	move and we had been looking in Hawaii for
19	five years, and we sort of found our dream house,
20	and we decided to retire.
21	Q Did you have any views on the way that
22	the AO was approaching how they were handling
23	misconduct claims or anything of that nature?
24	A Yes. Specifically, when I worked with
25	the Office of General Counsel, we would often get

```
1
     involved with, say, a misconduct claim.
                                               I would
2
    be looking at it from the perspective of a civil
3
    rights neutral. The Office of General Counsel
4
    would be looking at it as a -- as a defense
5
    counsel for a manager.
6
                So, yes, I -- I definitely worked on
7
     individual misconduct matters where there were
8
    legal issues that related to civil rights, and I
9
    can give you one example as a hypothetical.
10
                If, for example, you had an employee
    who was being terminated for workplace -- the
11
12
     inability to do the job at issue, that can raise a
    civil rights issue in that -- let's say the
13
14
    employee had early-onset Alzheimer -- Alzheimer's.
15
                That -- the way that would have been
16
    resolved would have been different, and that would
17
    be my role, to advise on what to do with that --
18
     that Alzheimer's aspect of the misconduct -- or
    not misconduct, I'm sorry -- inability to do the
19
20
     job.
2.1
                When you said that the way it was
          0
22
    handled is different, what do you mean by that?
23
                Well, you wouldn't typically, or you'd
24
    be in violation of the civil rights laws, fire
25
     somebody for the inability to do the job, a
```

1	performance-based action, if you learned that it
2	was Alzheimer's that was causing the inability to
3	do the job.
4	Typically, what you would do is process
5	it as a disability retirement claim.
6	Q I see.
7	And you generally agreed with the way
8	that the AO would handle a situation like that?
9	A Can you be more specific? Are you
10	talking about the AO managers, the Office of
11	General Counsel?
12	Q Yeah. I mean the approach that they
13	are taking in not necessarily adjudicating a claim
14	like that, because I realize it might not get to
15	that stage, but just the way that they approach
16	potential claims, like the example you gave.
17	A I would say in the great the vast
18	majority of cases, I would be in agreement with
19	what the Office of General Counsel and managers
20	were doing. That was not always the case, and
21	some of it depended on who the lawyer was.
22	I mean, I worked I had a very good
23	working relationship with Sheryl Walter, the
24	former general counsel, and Bill Meyers. I would
25	say it was as good a relationship as I've ever had

1	when you're both serving different purposes.
2	But there were times when I disagreed
3	with either a lawyer on their staff or what a
4	manager was doing in a particular case, and
5	that that is when I was able often to work out
6	a solution, and, you know, that that was the
7	really wonderful part of the job, is there were
8	times when I thought that the civil rights laws
9	were were not being followed, and so I was
10	able, because of my relationship with the general
11	counsel's office and senior managers and the
12	deputy director and director of the AO, to make a
13	difference in how something was handled.
14	Q Going back to what you mentioned
15	earlier about how your role was one as
16	You said as neutral?
17	A Right.
18	Q maybe a neutral advisor, can you be
19	more specific what you mean by "neutral"?
20	A Well, again, I represented the the
21	civil rights office, and our role was to provide
22	advice to AO managers and judiciary managers and
23	let them know what the civil rights implications
24	were. My job was not to defend the agency or
25	defend the judiciary, it was purely advisory, and

```
1
     so we -- we worked very hard at being neutral.
2
                EEOC has management directives. Now,
3
    that doesn't apply precisely and technically to
4
    the judiciary, but -- but Management Directive 110
5
    talks about the different roles within an
6
    executive agency.
7
                The civil rights office is supposed to
8
    be completely independent from the defensive
    branch of the agency, the Office of General
9
10
    Counsel. And so we were careful to follow that
    very good guidance on keeping -- keeping those
11
12
     functions separate.
                Would you -- would you say that you
13
          0
    mostly advised management and members of OGC, or
14
15
    would you also give advice regularly to employees
16
    or employees who were bringing potential wrongful
17
    conduct claims?
18
                I would give advice to AO managers,
     judiciary managers, judges, to -- to my superiors,
19
    to other executive officers on what the civil
20
2.1
     rights laws said about their particular situation,
22
    but I -- my role was not to defend the AO or to
23
    defend the judiciary. It was to provide a
24
    perspective of a neutral civil rights office.
25
          Q
                And you also would give advice to
```

1	employees of the judiciary and of the AO?
2	A I often had we often as an office
3	had employees come in and tell us their story,
4	and, again, we would we would tell them what
5	the law was, we would explain the the judi
6	the processing of complaints, and give them
7	information that they could use to make decisions
8	about how to proceed.
9	Again, we didn't represent the
10	plaintiffs or the employees. Our job was to give
11	them information to fully decide how they wanted
12	to proceed.
13	Q Would you give employees legal advice?
14	A No, not in that sense, not in the sense
15	of providing legal advice and representing that
16	employee.
17	What we did is give them the neutral
18	view with keeping in mind that we didn't represent
19	management and we didn't represent employees. We
20	told them what the law said and what the processes
21	were.
22	Q So I'd like to get into some of the
23	facts in the case, and I know, Ms. Dunham, you
24	mentioned that you didn't prepare anything in
25	advance, so if there's anything that would refresh

1	your recollection, let me know.
2	A Okay.
3	Q But if you can remember back I know
4	this is a couple years ago, do you remember when
5	you first encountered the Plaintiff?
6	A I do. I remember, because it was not
7	your usual situation.
8	I received a phone call and I
9	believe it was in late 2018, so that was six years
10	ago from a senior a senior member of the
11	AO staff who had, I believe, just retired. She
12	was one of the three department heads.
13	I knew her very well, and she had
14	pre before I was hired, she had previously
15	served in an EEO role and had advised the director
16	and the deputy director. When I came on board,
17	she relinquished those duties.
18	So that was her name was Laura
19	Minor, and she called me and said will you talk to
20	an employee a judicial employee in one of our
21	districts who has some questions about sexual
22	harassment? I have talked to her, and I think she
23	needs to talk to you, and I said of course.
24	And she said, now, she may not want to
25	give you her name, so she will call you and tell

```
you that she is the employee that talked to me,
1
2
    and if you could talk to her about her situation,
     I would really appreciate it.
3
                And that's how I first talked to the
4
5
     Plaintiff on the phone. We had, I believe, a very
6
     long conversation, because she was very articulate
7
    and remembered facts very well, and so I think we
8
    talked the first time for maybe an hour and a
9
    half. And, again, I didn't know who she was, I
10
    didn't know where she was, and that was the first
11
    conversation.
12
                Just so I understand, you didn't --
    even the entire time you talked to her for an hour
13
    and a half, you didn't know who she was?
14
                I didn't know her name at that time.
15
                                                       Ι
16
     knew -- I knew her general employment situation.
17
     I knew that she worked for one of the public
    defender offices, but I didn't know which one, and
18
     I remember that I looked at the area code from her
19
20
    cell, and it was not -- as it turned out, it was
2.1
    an area code from a previous location.
22
                Like, my cellphone reads 301.
                                               Well, I
    no longer live in Bethesda, Maryland.
23
24
    didn't -- I did not have any personal information
                 I didn't know who she was.
25
     about her.
                                              I didn't
```

1	know what her job was.
2	She told me about her background. I
3	knew that she had clerked at the District Court
4	level, at the Circuit Court level, and that she
5	had been a U.S. Supreme Court Fellow at the AO.
6	Like, I didn't know anything more than that in the
7	beginning.
8	It it I think it took some time
9	for her to build up trust talking to me, and I
10	continued to talk with her about the matter on an
11	ongoing basis until I retired.
12	Q You mentioned that you were introduced
13	to her by Laura Minor?
14	A Yes.
15	Q And how did you know Laura Minor?
16	A Laura Minor was an AO senior manager
17	and also had done some some work that related
18	to my office before I got there.
19	So she was an EEO advisor as a
20	tangential job duty. That was not what she did.
21	She was an AO manager, and so she and I would
22	often talk about civil rights matters, because she
23	had functioned in that role before my job was
24	created.
25	Q Did you have a previous relationship

1	with her?
2	A No, I did not know her. She was one of
3	the people that I interviewed with. The deputy
4	director wanted her to meet me and to give her
5	opinion on me, and so she was one of the people
6	that I interviewed with.
7	So I probably knew Laura for quite a
8	few months before I was actually hired, because
9	she was part of the interview and selection group.
10	Q Had she ever introduced you to other
11	people or other judiciary or AO employees who had
12	similar situations to the Plaintiff?
13	A I can't recall whether there was anyone
14	else that she sent specifically to me. I do
15	believe that she was she was knowledgeable
16	about the various complaints that were
17	I think we lost your video, Maddie.
18	Q Oh, did you?
19	A Oh, okay, you're back.
20	Q Sorry.
21	A Anyway, yeah, she I think that this
22	was the only situation where she specifically sent
23	someone to talk to me.
24	There was there was an AO case that
25	I know that she had followed and was interested

```
1
     in, but by the time I was hired, we pretty much
2
    resolved the case to her satisfac- -- I think to
3
    her satisfaction because I know she was worried
4
    about the case, but she did -- she was not the
5
    person who sent the plaintiff to my office.
6
     it was already there as a -- as a formal
7
    complaint that had been a hearing -- it had been
8
    counseled, there had been a hearing, and so forth.
9
                So I think the -- I think, if my
10
    recollection is correct, that this particular
11
    situation was the only person that she sent to me
12
    personally.
13
                What did Laura tell you?
                I know that you didn't know the
14
15
    Plaintiff's name or what district she worked in,
16
    but what did Laura -- what did Laura tell you
17
    about Plaintiff before you spoke to her on the
18
    phone?
                Well, she told me that -- and I hate to
19
20
    keep calling her Plaintiff, because, you know, her
2.1
    name is Caryn, and -- and I did get -- I got to
22
    know her very well. She -- I was told by Laura
23
     that she was a rising star in the federal
24
     judiciary, and I could see that just based on her
25
    work history.
```

1	Laura had worked with her when she was
2	a U.S. Supreme Court Fellow at the AO, and so she
3	told me a little bit about, you know, her
4	credentials and, you know, her her work ethics,
5	and and other things that you would tell
6	someone about if if you were going to interview
7	them.
8	So I did I did know that she was
9	well-respected in the district where she worked
10	but also by the AO people that she had come in
11	contact with as a U.S. Supreme Court Fellow.
12	Q Did Laura give you any specifics about
13	what the Plaintiff was alleging or anything
14	specific about the situation that she wanted to
15	call you for advice about?
16	A She said only that she wanted to call
17	me and talk to me about sexual harassment.
18	She didn't tell me she didn't give
19	me any details that I can recall about her
20	situation, but just that she wanted to discuss
21	sexual harassment.
22	Q And I know you mentioned that you
23	remember talking to Caryn for the first time in
24	2018.
25	Do you remember

```
I believe -- I believe it was late
1
          Α
2
     2018, and I took -- I -- you know, I took notes,
3
    so I -- I, you know, documented the conversation
4
    without a lot of specifics, but I -- you know, I
5
    know that there's a record that exists that would
6
     say precisely when I talked to her for the first
7
    time, but my memory tells me it was late 2018.
8
                (Discussion off the record.)
9
    BY MS. McMAHON:
                So if I -- if I told you that the
10
    conversation was around July of 2018, would that
11
12
    track with what you remember?
13
                It would.
          Α
14
                Okay. So you had this phone call with
          Q
15
    Plaintiff -- or with Caryn on July -- in July of
16
     2018.
17
                And can you give us a little more
18
    detail about what you discussed besides for her
    personal characteristics? Did she talk about --
19
20
    did she tell you about what her perception of the
     sexual harassment was?
2.1
22
                She did. She -- she provided me --
23
    again, she had a very good recollection of facts.
24
    When I asked her questions, she was able to fully
25
    answer the questions, and by the time that first
```

1	phone call was over, I had a very good sense of
2	what was going on.
3	Q What did she say about her allegations?
4	A Again, I'm I'm looking back, like,
5	five, six years, but she was uncomfortable made
6	uncomfortable by one of her senior managers who at
7	the time she was hired made some public statements
8	about how hot she was and and, again, this is
9	what she told me in detail and how he was
10	clearly interested in her either romantically or
11	sexually.
12	I don't believe I know that she was
13	in a relationship. I don't think she was married
14	at that time. I know that the individual at issue
15	was ten years older than she was and married, but
16	that immediately concerned me, and so she she
17	told me about the situation, she told me about his
18	desire to control her, which is very common in
19	this type of situation, and wanted to mentor her.
20	So when I heard her story for the first
21	time, her her her situation, it was
22	concerning. I felt like I wanted to know more
23	about it, but it concerned me.
24	Q You mentioned that she that Caryn
25	told you he was romantically interested in her.

Did she give you specific examples of 1 2 why she thought that? Well, he wanted to spend a lot of time 3 Α 4 with her. He made comments, I believe, to other 5 employees about how hot she was, and he sort of 6 self-appointed himself as her mentor and would, 7 again, do a number of things that when we 8 discussed the case at the AO we were concerned about because it -- it looked like he wanted to 9 10 control her, which is very common. What were those other number of things 11 12 besides for the comments to others saying that she 13 was hot? 14 Α I remember that she had a meeting that 15 she felt an obligation to attend, and he had 16 something that he wanted her to do, and so he sort 17 of blew up and was very angry, and -- and I 18 remember telling that to some of the people at the AO, and -- and they -- their comment was, well, 19 20 that's a very bad sign because this is what we see 2.1 in a sexual harassment case, the desire to 22 control. 23 So besides for him telling others that she's hot and then blowing up at her at the 24 25 meeting, was there anything else that she

1	mentioned that would indicate he was interested in
2	her romantically?
3	A Well, he he asked her, I think,
4	repeatedly I I think it goes beyond one
5	time to go out for drinks after work, he would
6	show up in the late evening in her workplace and
7	offer her rides home, things that were a little
8	atypical given the the parameters of their
9	relationship.
10	Q And if I if I told you this that
11	the man was named J.P. Davis, would that ring a
12	bell?
13	A That does ring a bell. I couldn't have
14	told you his name, but yeah.
15	Q Had you met J.P. Davis before?
16	A Never.
17	Q Had you contacted him or talked to him
18	throughout this process?
19	A Never.
20	Q So at that point, at the time of this
21	initial conversation, all you knew about the
22	allegations were what was based on what Caryn had
23	told you during that call? It wasn't from Laura
24	Minor or anyone else?
25	A It was primarily at that time of the

1	first call from what Caryn had said.
2	I also then talked to other officials
3	at the AO who were familiar with the situation,
4	and so I did get some additional facts, especially
5	as the months went on, from others who, I guess,
6	did talk to Mr. Davis or to others in that
7	district and learned a bit about how that office
8	functioned.
9	Q Who else did you talk to in the AO?
10	A I believe I talked to let's see,
11	what was her name?
12	All I can say conclusively is to other
13	senior managers who had official duties related to
14	the office where Caryn worked.
15	Q You don't remember anyone specific?
16	A I I can see their faces, but I I
17	could not tell you their names. It's just been
18	too long.
19	Q No problem.
20	And once after this initial phone
21	call, once Caryn told you this, did you
22	immediately go to the other AO officials to
23	discuss this with them?
24	A I believe that I did. I may have
25	waited for we we set up another call. I may

1	have waited till I got more information, but I was
2	immediately concerned, and I thought it was
3	prudent for me to advise my managers about what
4	was going on. Now, I'm talking about primarily
5	the deputy director at that point.
6	I later talked to the director about
7	the matter, but initially I talked to lawyers in
8	my office, I talked to managers who had duties
9	related to North Carolina, and I talked to I
10	felt a need to tell the deputy director what was
11	going on.
12	This was a time when, you know, sexual
13	harassment had hit the news, the Harvey Weinstein
14	case, there were a number of high-profile cases
15	that were hitting the news, and it was something
16	that the AO was very interested in.
17	So I was asked to do training on sexual
18	harassment, which I did, and we we started to
19	collect more information about allegations that
20	had occurred throughout the judiciary. There was
21	a Ninth Circuit judge that was of some concern,
22	and there was a lawsuit that followed from that.
23	So it it was newsworthy at that
24	time, and so I realized there was, you know, some
25	potential risk for the judiciary because of all

1 that publicity about other cases. 2 Can you describe what you told those 3 AO officials about the sexual harassment? What --4 what type of information were you passing on? 5 Well, I didn't tell them a lot because, 6 first of all, it was important for me to protect 7 her privacy, so I talked about it in generalities. 8 I said judicial employee. I may have told the --9 the region. 10 I probably did tell the deputy director of the region, because she had worked in the field 11 12 before she was the deputy director, and she knew a lot of people that were involved in the courts. 13 So -- and what I told them was a -- a more general 14 15 version of what I just told you I was concerned 16 about. 17 Did you take any steps to verify what 18 Caryn had told you? Well, as I said, I did talk to some 19 Α 20 officials that were familiar with that particular district. I believe I called some of the 2.1 22 individuals who would have, for example, duties 23 related to processing her complaint when it was 24 eventually filed. I think we talked to -- my --25 my -- one of my senior lawyers and I talked to

```
the -- the person in that area who was doing an
1
     initial investigation of the matter.
2
                But, no, I -- I see what you're getting
3
4
          Primarily what I was getting information on
5
    was from Caryn, from people who knew that specific
6
    office, and from the other people at the AO who
7
    had a role in this case.
8
                So I did talk to the general counsel
9
    about the case. I remember she had been away for
10
    a couple of days. When she came back, we
    discussed it, and, you know, she had concerns as
11
12
    well.
                And so, you know, it was -- it was a
13
    topic of conversation because of the allegations,
14
15
    and -- and, you know, the seriousness that sexual
16
    harassment presented for many employers, Congress,
17
    the executive branch agencies, but certainly the
18
     judiciary as well.
                MS. McMAHON: Sophia, I think, if we
19
20
    could, can you pull up Tab C?
2.1
                AV TECHNICIAN:
                                Stand by.
22
                And, Counsel, would you like this to be
23
    marked as Exhibit 1?
24
                MS. McMAHON: I'm sorry, I'm having a
25
    hard time hearing you.
```

1	AV TECHNICIAN: Would you like this to
2	be marked as Exhibit 1? I know we discussed it
3	earlier.
4	MS. McMAHON: Yes, please.
5	AV TECHNICIAN: Okay.
6	MS. McMAHON: This is marked as
7	Exhibit 1.
8	AV TECHNICIAN: All right. Stand by.
9	(Government Exhibit 1 was marked for
10	identification and is attached to the transcript.)
11	BY MS. McMAHON:
12	Q Ms. Dunham, this document, would it
13	would it would it be fair to say that this
14	document says Nancy Dunham on the top and is dated
15	July 23rd?
16	A Yes, that's what I see.
17	Q Would it make sense if I told you that
18	this was Plaintiff's notes from a call you had
19	with her on July 23rd?
20	A Yes, that looks very familiar.
21	Q Okay. And hopefully this will refresh
22	your recollection about that conversation, but
23	this aligns with what you were telling me about
24	your first conversation with her in July of 2018;
25	is that right?

```
And I -- I note that she wrote --
1
          Α
                Yes.
2
    and this is what typically I would say to
3
    employees -- that I was not giving them legal
4
    advice because they needed to get legal advice
5
     from someone who could represent them, but I also
6
    told her about confidentiality. I knew that must
7
    have been important to her. And I can't see the
8
    bottom of the page. Oh, there we go. Okay.
9
                This -- this does refresh my
10
    recollection.
                Let us know if you want to take your
11
12
     time to read it. I think Sophia can scroll
13
    through it.
14
          Α
                Okay. And I -- I --
15
                THE WITNESS: Yeah, let's scroll a
16
     little further.
17
                                (Technician complies.)
                AV TECHNICIAN:
18
                THE WITNESS: Okay. So...
19
                AV TECHNICIAN: And, Ms. Dunham, if you
20
    need me to zoom in at all, I'm happy to do so.
2.1
                THE WITNESS: Okay. I think I'm doing
22
     fine.
                Okay. I -- I see that -- that she
23
24
    wrote down that she -- that I said she was
25
    credible, and that is consistent with my
```

```
1
    recollection.
2
                I see that she wrote down that classic
3
    sexual harassment is an imbalance of power, a red
4
     flag, yes.
5
                I see that she wrote down there was no
6
    physical assault, which I asked her about.
7
                Okay. And can you keep scrolling?
8
                AV TECHNICIAN: (Technician complies.)
9
                THE WITNESS: Okay. And -- okay. Keep
10
    going.
11
                AV TECHNICIAN: (Technician complies.)
12
                THE WITNESS: I see that she talked
    about what her career goals were. I remember
13
    that.
14
15
                Okay. Please keep going.
16
                AV TECHNICIAN: (Technician complies.)
17
                THE WITNESS: She wrote down, "She
    believes me." I -- and I did believe her.
18
19
                Okay. Keep going.
20
                AV TECHNICIAN: (Technician complies.)
2.1
                THE WITNESS: She did talk about fraud,
22
    waste, and abuse, and I'm -- I am very
23
    knowledgeable about that topic, having dealt with
24
    it at other executive agencies, and I don't recall
25
    the details, but I -- I -- that's why I said there
```

1	may have been a number of problems in that office,
2	but I remember her telling me some of those and
3	that it fell under waste, fraud, and abuse.
4	Okay. Is that the end of it?
5	BY MS. McMAHON:
6	Q Yes, I think so.
7	A Okay.
8	MS. McMAHON: Sophia, could you scroll
9	back to the first page?
10	AV TECHNICIAN: (Technician complies.)
11	MS. McMAHON: Yes, exactly.
12	BY MS. McMAHON:
13	Q So, Ms. Dunham, I want to point you to
14	the bottom third of the page where Caryn writes,
15	"She is already uncomfortable with Asheville
16	piece."
17	Do you remember what that what she
18	was referring to there?
19	A Well, I think I was referring to what
20	she was telling me about her office.
21	Q Did she mention during this phone call
22	an interest in transferring to Asheville?
23	A I, at some point, talked to her about
24	what she thought would resolve the situation,
25	which I typically would do, and I believe that her

1 impression was that if she moved to another office 2 that she would not have the physical contact and the proximity with Mr. Davis and that she thought 3 4 that could resolve things. 5 And --6 I'm always looking for how might the 7 situation be better for everyone, which is the way 8 you typically settle a case that -- where there 9 are workplace disputes or problems, and so I believe she talked to me about Asheville would be 10 11 a better fit and getting her away from him. 12 Do you remember why Caryn would have written that you are already uncomfortable with 13 the Asheville piece? 14 15 Probably because of the fact that I 16 told her candidly what my impressions were, that 17 there were aspects of her situation that were 18 classic sexual harassment and that, you know, I --I was concerned for her. 19 20 Occasionally, you know, people are 2.1 actually in physical danger, and there were some 22 very subtle signs of that in terms of late-night 23 hanging around her when no one else was around. 24 And so, yes, I was uncomfortable, and I felt I

needed to do something as soon as possible.

25

```
Okay. I don't know, Ms. Dunham, if you
1
          Q
2
    want to take a quick break. Just let me know.
3
     know we've been going for about an hour now.
4
                Yeah, I think that would be good. A
          Α
5
     five-minute break would be wonderful.
6
                Okay. We'll plan to come back at
7
     3:15 --
8
                Okay, thank you.
          Α
9
          0
                -- our time --
10
          Α
                Yes.
11
                -- or 9:15 your time.
          0
12
          Α
                Yes.
13
                (A recess was taken.)
14
                THE WITNESS: So you asked me a
15
     question earlier about whether or not I had ever
16
    been deposed as a witness as opposed to as
17
     counselor for someone else, and I -- I remembered
18
     a situation where I was deposed.
19
                So I -- it was when I was at EPA, and I
20
     was an advisor to the Homeland Security office. I
2.1
     was a Homeland Security national security lawyer,
22
     and I was a witness to a false allegation of
23
    physical and sexual assault by an employee, and I
2.4
    was asked to testify in that matter.
25
                And so I basically testified against
```

4	
1	the person who was falsely claiming that one of my
2	clients had physically assaulted her. I was in
3	I was actually in the office when the incident
4	happened, and so I I was deposed probably for
5	about half a day on that matter, and that was
6	not not in my role as a lawyer, but that was in
7	my role as a witness.
8	MS. McMAHON: Understood. That sounds
9	like an interesting case.
10	Sophia, could you pull up Exhibit 1
11	again?
12	AV TECHNICIAN: (Technician complies.)
13	MS. McMAHON: Could you scroll down to
14	the second page?
15	AV TECHNICIAN: (Technician complies.)
16	BY MS. McMAHON:
17	Q Ms. Dunham, on page 2, if you'll look
18	at the third bullet down, it says, "she thinks
19	that odds stacked against me with them 2 in
20	charge."
21	What did you tell Caryn about the odds
22	being stacked against her?
23	A I probably and I don't want to
24	speculate, but I'm thinking what I said is that,
25	you know, when you have a power imbalance, you're

1	always taking a risk in complaining about it, and
2	that is what I think that language refers to.
3	Q You're saying you just meant there was
4	a power imbalance, not that there was anything
5	about the system that would have meant that the
6	odds were stacked against her?
7	A Yes. I think I think I meant or
8	I think what that refers to is I probably said
9	that, you know, when you have a power imbalance,
10	you have, you know, two managers lining up against
11	you as an employee, that I wanted her to be aware
12	that that this was not an easy case.
13	But I don't recall much more than
14	that, actually, but this gives me a general
15	recollection of what we talked about.
16	Q Why do you think that the when
17	there's a power imbalance, it's not easy for the
18	employee?
19	A Well, there's a lot someone can do, you
20	know, when they are in a position of power to
21	either make life difficult for an employee or fail
22	to take action and then have no consequences come
23	from it.
24	Q If you'll look farther down on that
25	page

```
1
                MS. McMAHON: Sophia, if you wouldn't
2
    mind scrolling a tiny bit down.
3
                AV TECHNICIAN: (Technician complies.)
4
                MS. McMAHON: That's perfect.
5
    BY MS. McMAHON:
6
                -- there's another bullet that's third
7
     from the bottom that says, "no adverse action."
8
                Do you remember what that was referring
9
    to?
10
                I think I probably -- that to me says
11
    they have not taken any performance-based or
12
    adverse action related to conduct, and then she
13
     said -- she writes, "may have diminished job
    responsibilities."
14
15
                I think that would be that there was no
16
    obvious adverse action taken but that -- that job
17
    responsibilities being diminished are one aspect
    of the sexual harassment or other discrimination
18
19
    complaint that can be actionable.
20
          Q
                And do you remember whether you were
2.1
    talking about that in the general sense or whether
22
     she gave you any specifics about her situation?
23
          Α
                I cannot remember more than what I've
24
     just said.
25
          Q
                No worries.
```

```
1
                              Sophia, if you could
                MS. McMAHON:
2
     scroll down -- and I know we've already been
3
    through this, but --
4
                AV TECHNICIAN: (Technician complies.)
5
    BY MS. McMAHON:
6
                -- to this page, page 3, and I think
7
    this goes on to page 4 as well. It looks like
8
    it -- oh, maybe even, yeah, 5 too.
9
                It looks like, Ms. Dunham, you were
10
    telling Caryn about her various options that she
11
    had in terms of what to do with her allegations.
12
                Do you remember advising her as to a
    specific course that she should take?
13
14
          Α
                No.
                     That would not be something I
15
    would do, and -- and I did talk about options with
16
    her. And I see she wrote, What feels better?
17
     Informally? Or enter into settlement agreement.
18
                I know that one of the first things I
    thought that the AO should do is look at whether
19
20
    the situation could be resolved informally. I've
2.1
    been in many, many situations where a very bad
22
    employment situation could be resolved with
23
    everyone being better off due -- due to a
24
    settlement, and so that -- that was always -- even
25
    when I was a defense counsel, that was always
```

1 something I looked at, can we settle this 2 favorably for both sides. 3 When you say settle informally, do you 0 4 mean without going through the official process? 5 I mean -- yes. I mean talking with 6 those who have decision-making authority and 7 seeing whether what the Plaintiff would find 8 acceptable in a settlement would be something that 9 the managers would also be in agreement with. 10 Would you still think that's the case, even if there was not an official or unofficial --11 12 any type of investigation? Oh, absolutely, because, you know, 13 14 if -- if the managers had discussed the situation -- the workplace situation informally 15 16 with AO managers, general counsel, my office, and 17 said we want to resolve this, we are -- you know, 18 one way to have handled this was if they had said 19 we didn't know that she was upset about this, and 20 we would like to resolve it favorably for 2.1 everyone, and we know that having an employee who 22 feels threatened, at risk, is unhappy, especially 23 someone who does really good work, one way that 2.4 this could have gone would have been to settle 25 early and to avoid everything that has happened

```
1
     since.
2
                And that's what I always look at,
3
     especially in cases that I'm troubled by, and I
4
     can see that that didn't happen.
5
                MS. McMAHON: Sophia, could you go to
6
    page -- page 3 and scroll down to the bottom?
7
                AV TECHNICIAN: (Technician complies.)
8
    BY MS. McMAHON:
9
                So it says right above the Bates
          0
10
     number, Bates number 18, "not a good idea - least
11
     formal - work with her office - very informally
12
     involved behind the scenes."
13
          Α
                Yes.
14
                Did you think that was not a good idea
15
     at the time?
16
                I think what that's referring to -- I
17
     think her notation, "not a good idea - least
18
     formal" -- so I think maybe the -- the formal
19
     complaint process may not have been a good idea.
20
                I probably said, and I believe this
2.1
     now, that the least formal method would have been
22
     the best one, because you have so many more
23
     options, and people that start into a complaint
24
    process and begin to litigate get a little --
25
     sometimes they get a little frozen in their
```

1	positions, either the managers or the employees.
2	So I thought this was something that
3	especially with the senior people who were
4	involved at the AO may have been able to be
5	
	resolved early on informally.
6	Q But do you know why she had written
7	down, "not a good idea - least formal"?
8	A Well, I think it was probably that
9	that the negatives about formal proceeding and
10	that the least-formal method, informal settlement
11	may have been a better idea.
12	But, again, I didn't make the decision.
13	I was giving her options and giving her my
14	experiences at the AO and at other institutions.
15	Q And you thought what you're telling
16	me is you thought moving through the official
17	channels that may have involved more official
18	processes, in your experience, had been a worse
19	idea?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Understood.
22	MS. McMAHON: You can take down
23	Exhibit 1, please.
24	AV TECHNICIAN: (Technician complies.)
25	MS. McMAHON: Great.

1	BY MS. McMAHON:
2	Q So that was your initial phone call
3	with Caryn on July 23rd of 2018, and I know you
4	mentioned you started talking to her frequently.
5	Do you know when the next phone call
6	was that you had with Caryn?
7	A I do not know.
8	MS. McMAHON: Sophia, could you pull up
9	Tab D, please?
10	(Government Exhibit 2 was marked for
11	identification and is attached to the transcript.)
12	BY MS. McMAHON:
13	Q I'll mark this as Government Exhibit 2.
14	This is an e-mail chain between Caryn
15	and Ms. Dunham, and it is very long, so there's a
16	couple things that I want to discuss in here, but
17	we can I'd like to start actually at the very
18	bottom, which is the earliest e-mail between you.
19	And, Ms. Dunham, I don't know if you
20	want to take a moment to read it. You're welcome
21	to do that.
22	A Yeah, I I will read, I guess,
23	backwards from Thursday, the 26th.
24	Yes, I remember this.
25	THE WITNESS: Okay. And then go back

```
to the next e-mail.
1
2
                AV TECHNICIAN: (Technician complies.)
                THE WITNESS: Okay. Let's see. Okay.
3
4
                Okay. I recognize this.
    BY MS. McMAHON:
5
6
                Great.
7
          Α
                Right, but I definitely -- I definitely
8
    remember my concerns about her working in an
9
     isolated part of the building.
10
                Okay. And --
11
                MR. GERSEN: I apologize for
12
     interrupting.
13
                Would it be possible to see the exhibit
    in the chat or somewhere else so we could look at
14
15
     it outside of this shared window?
16
                AV TECHNICIAN: Yes, I'm happy to share
17
     it. Please stand by.
18
                MR. GERSEN: Thank you so much.
                AV TECHNICIAN: I've uploaded it to the
19
20
    chat.
2.1
                And if you need Exhibit 1, just please
22
     let me know.
                THE WITNESS: Okay. Could you continue
23
    to scroll forward in time?
24
25
                AV TECHNICIAN:
                                 (Technician complies.)
```

```
THE WITNESS: Oh, there we go. Okay.
1
2
                Okay.
3
    BY MS. McMAHON:
4
                Okay. So I'd just like to start with
          Q
5
    the bottom couple e-mails starting on page 3.
6
                So page 3 and 4 -- 3 through 5 involve
7
    e-mails from Caryn to you, Nancy, from Thursday,
8
    July 26?
9
          Α
                Yes.
10
                And she is e-mailing you, it appears,
11
    about Tony Martinez.
12
                Do you remember who Tony Martinez is?
                Now I do. I -- I recognize Tony. I
13
    couldn't have told you what his last name was.
14
15
    Now I recall. So I can see that she's reporting
16
    what Tony said to her in a phone call.
17
                Uh-huh. And -- so you write on
          0
     July 26, 2018 -- that's in the middle of page 3 --
18
19
                MS. McMAHON: If you could scroll up a
20
    little bit, Sophia, that would be great.
2.1
                AV TECHNICIAN: (Technician complies.)
22
                MS. McMAHON: Perfect.
    BY MS. McMAHON:
23
2.4
                You said, "Let's proceed as discussed
25
     yesterday."
```

1	So did you have a phone call with
2	Plaintiff on July 25th, 2018?
3	A I can't recall that.
4	Q And just to summarize so that we
5	don't we don't need to read all of this.
6	Essentially, what Caryn has e-mailed
7	you, it seems as that Tony had put her temporarily
8	back on a team with J.P., and he called her
9	afterward to apologize and say that he had made a
10	mistake in doing so and took her back off that
11	team a couple days later.
12	So then if you scroll up to the bottom
13	of page 2, that's an e-mail from Friday, July 27th
14	from Caryn to you, and she writes, "Hi Nancy, I
15	just spoke with the appellate chief, (josh)." And
16	she and Josh are having a discussion about whether
17	she should apply for the position of appellate
18	Assistant Federal Defender.
19	Do you remember that?
20	A Vaguely. I I can see the the
21	language, and I I have a a very weak
22	recollection of that part of it.
23	Q Do you recall her talking about wanting
24	to work as an appellate lawyer?
25	A Yes, I do recall that.

1	Q What did she say about that?
2	A Well, that was part of her career goal,
3	and that was very much related to her background,
4	so I I do recall that as being something that
5	she was interested in.
6	Q And do you remember her raising that as
7	a possible resolution to her sexual harassment
8	claims?
9	A Well, I think that was a solution to
10	her sit her employment situation, so that if
11	she had taken that job, that she would no longer
12	be reporting to Mr. Davis and Mr. Martinez.
13	And so, yes, I think that that was
14	something that she thought could solve the
15	situation, and my recollection is that would have
16	been great.
17	Q So in the earlier e-mails at the bottom
18	of this document that we're looking at, the
19	July 26th e-mails, she says, I think, on page
20	on page 4, she says, "I have attached a PDF of
21	Tony's e-mail to the office and the appellate AFD
22	job posting."
23	Do you see that?
24	A I do not.
25	MS. McMAHON: I think if we could

```
1
     scroll down a little -- sorry, Sophia -- just to
2
    page 4.
3
                AV TECHNICIAN: (Technician complies.)
4
    BY MS. McMAHON:
5
                And that's above her signature block,
6
     the sentence, "I have attached..."
          Α
                Okay. I see that.
8
                Do you recall her talking about this
9
     specific appellate AFD posting?
10
                I vaguely recall that, yes.
                And do you recall reading the actual
11
12
     appellate AFD posting that Caryn sent you?
                I actually -- I can't -- I'm sure I
13
     did, but I can't remember for sure.
14
15
          0
                Understood.
16
                So do you remember whether you
17
     encouraged -- or if you encouraged Caryn to apply
     to the appellate AFD job?
18
                     That would not have been the sort
19
          Α
20
     of thing I would have done. I would have read
     what her desire for a solution to her situation
2.1
22
     was, and if I thought that that would have
23
     resolved the employment dispute and the current
2.4
     situation that she was uncomfortable with, I -- I
25
     likely would have encouraged her.
```

```
1
                But -- but I don't remember the details
2
    of that, and I -- and I would not typically try to
3
    push somebody into doing something unless they
4
    wanted to do it.
5
                MS. McMAHON: Sophia, if you'll scroll
6
    up again to the top of page 3.
7
                AV TECHNICIAN: (Technician complies.)
8
    BY MS. McMAHON:
9
                That's back to this e-mail where Caryn
          0
10
     summarizes her conversation with the appellate
11
    chief, Josh Carpenter.
12
          Α
                Uh-huh.
13
          0
                She writes to you that, He said I
14
    basically "already" have the position they are
15
    hiring for and that I don't "need" to apply. He
16
    said that because I am "lower" on the experience
17
    scale being an R&W" -- a research and writing
18
    attorney -- is financially better than being an
    AFD and that only after 10-15 years' experience
19
20
    does it make sense for me to move to an AFD.
2.1
                Do you remember what your reaction was
22
    to reading this?
23
                Well, I can see that she says,
     "Obviously, this hasn't gone as I hoped." So I
24
25
     think she was disappointed in Josh's response, but
```

```
1
     I honestly -- this -- this part of it, I have
2
    very, very little recollection of.
3
                Did you -- do you remember having any
          0
4
     reason to doubt Josh Carpenter's intentions or
5
     that he wasn't telling the truth when he told her
6
     she would -- she was in a more financially
7
     advantageous position as a research and writing
8
    attorney?
9
                I did not have an opinion on that.
          Α
10
                MS. McMAHON: So if you'll scroll up to
11
    page 1.
12
                AV TECHNICIAN: (Technician complies.)
13
    BY MS. McMAHON:
                On August 3rd, 2018, you write to
14
          Q
     Caryn, "Please give me a call today if you can."
15
16
          Α
                Uh-huh.
17
                So it's fair to say you had another
          0
    phone call on August 3rd?
18
                I assume that she called me when I told
19
20
    her I -- I had availability, but I cannot remember
     that for sure.
2.1
22
                Can we -- I'm going to show you a
23
     document that might refresh your recollection
24
    again.
25
                Okay, uh-huh.
          Α
```

```
MS. McMAHON: Sophia, if you could pull
1
2
    up Tab F.
3
                AV TECHNICIAN: (Technician complies.)
4
                MS. McMAHON: And also put it in the
5
    chat, please.
6
                AV TECHNICIAN: (Technician complies.)
7
                (Government Exhibit 3 was marked for
8
     identification and is attached to the transcript.)
                MS. McMAHON: Great.
9
10
    BY MS. McMAHON:
                Is it fair to say that these notes --
11
12
     it says Nancy at the top and dated 8/3 -- are
    Caryn's notes from that phone call?
13
                I think that must be true. And I'm
14
          Α
15
     looking at the content of what she has written,
16
    and I also recollect that I did talk to the deputy
17
    director, and -- yep, and I can see a number of
    other substantive matters. Oh, Cait Clarke is the
18
19
    person I was trying to think of before.
20
                Cait Clarke was the senior person that
    had responsibilities for and with the federal
2.1
22
    protector defender's office, and I think she said
23
    she'd give Tony a call, and I -- I recall that.
2.4
                MS. McMAHON: I'd like to mark this,
     for the record, as Dunham Exhibit 3.
25
```

1	BY MS. McMAHON:
2	Q So up at the top, Ms. Dunham, it says
3	
	you talked to deputy director, correct?
4	A Right.
5	Q Would that is the deputy director
6	Lee Ann Bennett?
7	A It is.
8	Q Okay. And do you recall what you
9	talked to Ms. Bennett about?
10	A Well, I think I told her the general
11	situation here and what my impressions were.
12	I also recall that I told her about a
13	situation where when Tony was made aware of
14	these allegations of harassment and Caryn's
15	discomfort with, you know, some of the late-night
16	meetings and her her feelings that she was not
17	secure, I believe that Mr. Martinez sat Mr. Davis
18	down in a room with Caryn and said something like,
19	well, let's try to work this out, or, you know,
20	let's have you two work this out. And I believe
21	that that was one of the things that I told
22	Ms. Bennett that was concerning.
23	Q Did Caryn tell you did Caryn ask you
24	to talk to Ms. Bennett or Cait Clarke about her
25	situation?

1	A No, that I doubt that came from her.
2	That was my responsibility, having heard
3	allegations that concerned me, to address the
4	situation, and Cait Clarke and Lee Ann Bennett
5	would have been two individuals at a senior level
6	who might have been able to do something about it.
7	And so that and it was also my job
8	to inform senior managers of allegations so that
9	they could they would be on notice that they
10	needed to take action or to get more information
11	if they felt that was necessary.
12	Q Why did you talk to Lee Ann Bennett
13	about it?
14	A She was my immediate supervisor. She
15	was second-in-command at the AO. She had
16	responsibilities that related to that office and
17	actually all the offices in the courts, and she
18	was someone I had enormous amount of respect and
19	trust in, and when I told her about this case, her
20	responses were incredibly intuitive.
21	She was the one that mentioned, you
22	know, when there is a huge power imbalance and
23	somebody's trying to control the the employee
24	at issue, that I mean, she was not a lawyer,
25	but she recognized that was part of a classic

1	sexual harassment claim.
2	Q And why did you talk to Cait Clarke?
3	A Well, Cait Clarke also had had
4	responsibilities related to that office, and she
5	was also somebody I had respect for, and I knew
6	these are both people that I I had confidence
7	would do the right thing.
8	Q Can you be more specific about what
9	Cait Clarke's role was with respect to the office?
10	A Cait Clarke I can't remember exactly
11	what her position was, but she was a senior
12	official that had responsibilities for for the
13	public defender's offices, and I believe that
14	Caryn knew her from her time at the AO as a
15	U.S. Supreme Court Fellow.
16	And Cait Clarke I trusted, I mean, and
17	Cait Clarke had a history with that office, so she
18	knew the parties involved, she knew, you know,
19	things that had happened in the past, and she gave
20	me some good information, as I recall.
21	Q Do you recall what information she gave
22	you?
23	A I all I recall is that she told me
24	that there had been problems with that office in
25	the past, and I I do not recall the details.

1	Q Did it occur to you at this point to
2	approach Tony, who was the federal defender at the
3	time?
4	A That that was not my role, and I did
5	not think that was needed because I knew that I
6	believe that Tony and J.P. were having
7	conversations with the Office of General Counsel.
8	So I was very careful not to interfere with
9	that the role of the Office of General Counsel.
10	Now, if if the general counsel's
11	office or the lawyers would have said we would
12	like you to talk with us to J.P. or Tony, I I
13	would have considered doing that, but at that
14	point, I I thought that the conversations with
15	the managers about what to do in order to protect
16	the judiciary and the AO were already taking
17	place.
18	Q What made you think that J.P. and Tony
19	were having conversations with the general
20	counsel's office?
21	A I believe that I was probably in
22	meetings. I don't recall this precisely, but I
23	believe that typically what would have happened is
24	if the general counsel's office were concerned
25	about an employment situation, they would contact

1	the managers involved.
2	Q And just so I understand, why would
3	your role have been to contact someone like Cait
4	Clarke who oversaw the federal defenders and not
5	the federal defender himself, who was also a
6	manager?
7	A Cait Clarke, I felt, could give me some
8	insight into the workings of that office, could
9	possibly have reached out to the people at issue,
10	and devised an informal settlement, and while I
11	could have, I it was certainly within my job
12	responsibilities to talk to Mr. Davis or
13	Mr. Martinez, I knew that they were talking to the
14	Office of General Counsel, so I thought it better
15	that I not get involved with that part of the
16	situation.
17	Q Were you told directly that they were
18	talking to the Office of General Counsel?
19	A I think I I think I interpreted what
20	the lawyers in OGC told me or that
21	Deputy Director Bennett told me that those
22	conversations were going on, but I I I did
23	not have any firsthand knowledge.
24	I was never in a meeting or phone
25	conference with the Office of General Counsel and

1	those two managers.
2	Q So if we could look again at Exhibit
3	Number 3, and the the second so the first
4	bullet down says, "she talked to deputy director."
5	A Uh-huh.
6	Q And then the second bullet says,
7	"request immediate transfer to Asheville office;
8	make sure he considers it."
9	A Uh-huh. Yes.
10	Q And then the third bullet says, she has
11	not talked to any other I think it says
12	manager, I'm not sure in FPD, but will tell
13	Cait Clarke; Clarke will make call to Tony -
14	should grant request.
15	Is that right?
16	A Yes.
17	Q So did you think that Cait Clarke would
18	order Tony to grant her request to grant
19	Caryn's request to transfer to Asheville?
20	A No. Cait Clarke I don't believe had
21	any authority to order the public defender to do
22	anything, but the I I took that conversation
23	to you know, to make a suggestion or to offer
24	assistance in resolving the situation.
25	Q Did you and Caryn either in this call

1	or before discuss her move from be from being
2	a research and writing attorney to being an
3	Assistant federal defender?
4	A It sounds familiar, but I cannot I
5	cannot remember exactly a conversation like that.
6	Q Do you remember in this conversation on
7	August 3rd discussing appointing some sort of
8	fact-finder to investigate her claims?
9	A Well, I would not have had the
10	authority to appoint a fact-finder. I think
11	the the judiciary process would have started
12	with counseling, and the counselor could have done
13	some informal fact-finding. I do remember that
14	happening at some point.
15	MS. McMAHON: Sophia, if you'll scroll
16	down to the bottom of page 1.
17	AV TECHNICIAN: (Technician complies.)
18	BY MS. McMAHON:
19	Q It says in the last bullet, she told
20	Lee Ann very credible - we can't do everything
21	without fact-finder.
22	A True.
23	Q What did you mean by that?
24	A Well, I'm assuming what I meant, and it
25	would have made sense in this situation, is we

1	needed more facts.
2	As you pointed out early on, we were
3	hearing one side and then some general information
4	from AO employees about that particular Charlotte
5	office. We we needed more facts. And just
6	because I found someone credible, in order to do
7	the right thing, more facts needed to be had.
8	Q What do you mean by "in order to do the
9	right thing"?
10	A Well, in order to comply with the civil
11	rights laws, in order to make a good decision that
12	would avoid liability for the judiciary, but also
13	do the right thing in terms of our legal
14	obligations to the employee.
15	Q To which employee?
16	A To Caryn. And and, in fairness, to
17	the managers as well. I mean, fact-finding can
18	turn a case because you find out more information,
19	and it rounds out the entire picture.
20	Q Would you typically recommend to
21	managers appointing some sort of fact-finder for
22	the reason that you just mentioned?
23	A I don't think I recommended that to the
24	managers. I think that I think the process
25	the the judicial complaint process in in

1	that district would have started with EEO
2	counseling, and I think that counselor would have
3	done some interviews, and I remember actually
4	talking to that individual who had started
5	interviews and was doing fact-finding, not she
6	wasn't finding facts. She was taking information
7	from all the parties involved.
8	Q And at that point, like you said, at
9	this phone call on August 8th, all you knew about
10	Caryn's situation was what Caryn had told you?
11	A What Caryn had told me, what Cait
12	Clarke, Laura Minor, and others, including the
13	Office of General Counsel and the deputy director,
14	told me about that particular office and their
15	knowledge of the parties.
16	Q When you say "that particular office,"
17	that's just to clarify, that means, in general,
18	background about the office, not any specific
19	facts about what Caryn was alleging
20	A Yes.
21	Q is that right?
22	A Yes.
23	Q And you hadn't seen any
24	documentation Caryn hadn't sent you any
25	documentation at that point, you know, to

1	corroborate her claims or to to give you more
2	specific context about her claims?
3	A I don't recall.
4	MS. McMAHON: So if we could scroll
5	down to page 2 of Exhibit 3.
6	AV TECHNICIAN: (Technician complies.)
7	BY MS. McMAHON:
8	Q The second bullet down on page 2 says,
9	"telework - a little bit beyond scope of remedy."
10	Do you recall why you would have said
11	that telework was beyond the scope of the remedy?
12	A I do not recall.
13	I mean, I think what I might have meant
14	is what you do as a manager to resolve a claim of
15	harassment or discrimination if you want to settle
16	it according to the law is to put the person in
17	the position they would have been absent the
18	harassment or discrimination.
19	So I'm not sure that if this had been
20	adjudicated and if there had been a finding of
21	harassment or discrimination that what a judge or
22	someone else would have ordered would have been
23	telework. It would have been, you know,
24	reinstatement to the position that the employee
25	would have been in absent discrimination, which

```
1
    would have been a job.
2
                Now, there are -- there are cases where
3
     telework is at issue, someone wants to telework
4
    because of a disability, and so the -- the remedy
5
    would -- would include telework. But I -- I'm --
6
     I'm offering a reasoned explanation about those
7
    notes.
8
                I understand.
          0
9
                It also says, in the fourth bullet
     down, "send offer letter to her."
10
11
                Do you remember discussing Caryn's
12
     offer letter with her?
13
                I -- I really do not.
14
          Q
                No problem.
15
                MS. McMAHON: So if we could go back to
16
    Exhibit 2.
17
                AV TECHNICIAN: (Technician complies.)
    BY MS. McMAHON:
18
                And just the top of the page, is it
19
          Q
20
     fair to say this is an e-mail from Caryn to you
2.1
     from August 6th, 2018?
22
          Α
                Yes.
                So she writes, "Nancy, I had a good
23
24
    phone call with Laura yesterday," and she writes
25
     that she's attaching copies of her offer letter
```

1	and a related e-mail and some communications from
2	the first assistant, "including an email saying he
3	would raise my pay if I stayed in charlotte and a
4	text message he sent me when he waited for me in
5	the lobby one evening when I had already said no
6	to a ride."
7	Do you recall receiving this e-mail?
8	A I I do recall the substance of that
9	e-mail.
10	Q Uh-huh. Do you recall seeing the
11	attachments that she mentions?
12	A I'm sure I did, but I can't remember
13	them enough to testify again about them.
14	But, again, what raised red flags for
15	me was his saying that he'd raise her pay if she
16	stayed in Charlotte and that I mean, again, the
17	control issue, and then the text message she got
18	from him when he waited in the lobby and he had
19	been pestering her to give her a ride home.
20	And so I do specifically remember those
21	situations, because, again, they were red flags
22	for me of concern.
23	Q This was the first time that on
24	August 6th, 2018, that you were actually viewing
25	copies of that e-mail and that text message; is

```
1
     that right?
2
                I believe that's correct.
3
                MS. McMAHON: Sophia, can you pull up
4
     Tab H, please?
5
                (Government Exhibit 4 was marked for
6
     identification and is attached to the transcript.)
7
                MS. McMAHON: I'll mark this as
8
     Government Exhibit 4, and this is the e-mail that
9
     was attached to the August 6th e-mail to
10
    Ms. Dunham from Caryn, and this attachment is the
     e-mail that she was referencing from J.P. Davis to
11
12
     Caryn that's from May 18th, 2018 that discusses
    pay-for-stay.
13
    BY MS. McMAHON:
14
15
                Ms. Dunham, I don't know if you want a
16
    minute to read this.
17
                No, I've already read it.
18
                So how did you interpret this e-mail
19
    when you saw it?
20
          Α
                Well, I remember it clearly, and I
2.1
     expressly remember the last line which is, "I have
22
     a plan...just remember I deal in pay-for-stay,"
     and that to me, again, was indicative of
23
2.4
    Mr. Davis' manipulating Caryn's conduct and urging
25
    her to stay in the office that he worked in.
```

1	Q Did Caryn tell you that she had told
2	J.P. earlier that she would quit she would have
3	to quit if she didn't make more money?
4	A I don't recall that at all.
5	Q Can you explain specifically what you
6	believe is sexual in nature about pay-for-stay?
7	A Oh, I don't think pay-for-stay is
8	sexual. I think it is indicative of manipulating
9	the employee's conduct and staying in in close
10	proximity to her.
11	So, no, nothing sexual about that.
12	There were the sexual aspects were scattered
13	through other aspects of the behavior, but this
14	is this is the control issue.
15	Q So without knowing the without the
16	other examples that you that Caryn had told you
17	about her allegations against J.P., you don't
18	think that this e-mail alone would constitute
19	sexual harassment?
20	A I'm not going to speculate on that.
21	Again, it is one e-mail out in a in a broad
22	context of behavior, and what I see here and what
23	I saw then was was the issue of control and
24	trying to control her, which is very common in
25	sexual harassment cases or in cases of harassment

1	in general.
2	Q Is it possible that as her mentor, J.P.
3	interpreted this e-mail as wanting her to stay and
4	wanting to help raise her pay?
5	A I can't speculate about that.
6	Q Do you think that this e-mail is only
7	subject to one interpretation?
8	A No, I'm positive that everything is
9	subject to multiple interpretations.
10	Q If you had known that Caryn told J.P.
11	that she'd have to quit if she wasn't transferred
12	to Asheville or got a raise, would that have
13	changed your view on the context of this e-mail
14	and and whether it really was an effort to
15	control her?
16	A I can't speculate about that.
17	That's that isn't what happened, so
18	Q And how do you know that's not what
19	happened?
20	A I mean, I had no facts to to rely on
21	to believe that.
22	Q That's not the account that Caryn told
23	you?
24	A It may have been, but I just I
25	didn't have anything that would cause me to

1	believe that this was an appropriate management
2	request. I just the what concerned me was
3	the control issue and the desire to stay in
4	proximity with her.
5	MS. McMAHON: Sophia, if you could pull
6	up Tab I, please.
7	(Government Exhibit 5 was marked for
8	identification and is attached to the transcript.)
9	MS. McMAHON: This is Exhibit 5, and
10	this is another attachment to the August 6th, 2018
11	e-mail from Caryn to Ms. Dunham.
12	BY MS. McMAHON:
13	Q Ms. Dunham, do you remember reading
14	this e-mail or, sorry, these texts?
15	A These texts, yes, I do.
16	Q Do you remember what this was in
17	reference to?
18	A This is in reference to J.P. pushing
19	Caryn to take a ride home with him when she had
20	already told him that she preferred not to.
21	Q Did you interpret this offer for a ride
22	home as sexual harassment?
23	A It concerned me because of the nature
24	of her being very isolated in the office. This
25	was late at night. She had already told him, no,

1	she did not want a ride home, and he continued to
2	pursue it.
3	And and, again, what that told me
4	was not that that is just overall a wrong thing to
5	do, but when someone says no, that should be
6	respected.
7	Q And the time stamp on this text is
8	6:44 p.m.; is that right?
9	A Right, right. Yes, that's what I see.
10	Q Were you aware that J.P. had given
11	Plaintiff sorry, given Caryn ride homes in the
12	past?
13	A I don't think I knew that.
14	Q Caryn didn't tell you that?
15	A I don't think so.
16	Q Would that have changed how you viewed
17	J.P.'s offer to give her a ride home in the rain?
18	A No, because at some point, she became
19	uncomfortable with his conduct in the workplace,
20	and being alone with him in his car was a concern
21	to her, and I understand that, and once she said
22	no, I was concerned about his continuing to pursue
23	it.
24	Q Did Caryn show you any of the prior
25	communications that she had had with J.P.?

1	A I cannot recall, but I know that she
2	sent she kept very good records and sent me
3	examples of things that we were talking about so
4	that I could see for myself what was happening.
5	I mean, she told me about this this
6	late-night push for a ride home when she had said
7	no, and then later she showed she actually sent
8	me a copy of the texts.
9	Q And just so we're clear, you you
10	wouldn't consider this text to be the actual
11	sexual harassment, more indicative of control?
12	A Well, here's what I here's what I
13	think now, and I would suspect I thought it at the
14	time: If you have been aware that someone has a
15	romantic or sexual interest in you and they're
16	pushing you to be alone in a car when you have
17	said no and you're not comfortable with it, it
18	would have struck me as a possible risk of
19	something happening like a sexual assault. And so
20	I respected her discomfort with going in a car
21	alone with him whether or not it was raining.
22	Q And the expression of romantic interest
23	that we discussed earlier was would you explain
24	again what that's based on?
25	A Well, as I said, he publicly made a

1	statement that Caryn was hot and then took other
2	opportunities to be alone with her, including this
3	evening, asked her out for drinks, and
4	consistently tried to take control of her work by
5	self-appointing himself as her mentor.
6	MS. McMAHON: So could we pull up
7	Tab J, please?
8	(Government Exhibit 6 was marked for
9	identification and is attached to the transcript.)
10	BY MS. McMAHON:
11	Q And this is Exhibit 6, so this is
12	another long e-mail chain. My apologies. If we
13	could maybe read it from the bottom again, that
14	might make the most sense, because that's
15	chronological.
16	So this starts with an e-mail this
17	is an e-mail chain between Caryn and Ms. Dunham,
18	and it starts, again, right after on August 6th
19	after she had Caryn had e-mailed the documents
20	that we've been discussing.
21	A Yes.
22	Okay. I've finished reading.
23	MS. McMAHON: So, again, Sophia, if you
24	could scroll all the way to the bottom.
25	AV TECHNICIAN: (Technician complies.)

1	BY MS. McMAHON:
2	Q The second e-mail from the bottom on
3	August 6th, 2018 that's at 3:38 p.m., you e-mailed
4	Caryn to say, "I am meeting with Cait Clarke today
5	to generally make her aware of the situation. No
6	decisions, just discussing possibilities." I will
7	report back to you.
8	It's fair to say that you met with Cait
9	Clarke on August 6th, 2018?
10	A Yes.
11	Q So you said, "No decisions, just
12	discussing possibilities."
13	A Right.
14	Q What did
15	A What I meant by that was that, again,
16	Cait Clarke was not in a position to make a
17	decision about this case, but she could talk to
18	the people in that office who were in a position
19	to either give Caryn another position or otherwise
20	resolve it.
21	Q And when you said "no decisions, just
22	discussing possibilities," what did you mean by
23	saying "no decisions"?
24	A Well, I I expect that I meant Cait
25	and I talked about possibilities for resolving the

1	matter.
2	Q Do you recall what those possibilities
3	were?
4	A Well, what I recall is that we were
5	looking for a work placement that was did not
6	put her in close proximity to Mr. Davis and
7	allowed her to do the work that she wanted to do.
8	Q When you say allowed her to do the work
9	she wanted to do, what do you mean by that?
10	A Well, for example, it would not have
11	been reasonable to transfer her to Asheville to a
12	position as a legal secretary.
13	What was important was that she was
14	doing comparable work, and so there was no
15	diminution of responsibilities in a place where
16	she was not directly supervised by Mr. Davis.
17	Q So you mean comparable work, not
18	A Yes.
19	Q not more, not less? Comparable?
20	A Yes, exactly.
21	Q So if you'll scroll up a bit, you
22	say this is on August 6th, 2018 at 5:11 p.m.
23	You say, "Hi Caryn, Please call me when you have a
24	few minutes," and you give your number.
25	Is it fair to say, then, that you had a

1	conversation a phone conversation with Caryn on
2	August 6th?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Okay. And do you recall what you
5	discussed on that phone call?
6	A I do not without looking at documents
7	that Caryn may have created based on our
8	conversation.
9	MS. McMAHON: Sophia, can you pull up
10	Tab K, please, and put it in the chat too.
11	(Government Exhibit 7 was marked for
12	identification and is attached to the transcript.)
13	BY MS. McMAHON:
14	Q This is Exhibit 7, and at the top Caryn
15	writes, "Nancy - she met with Cait." This is
16	dated 8/6.
17	A Uh-huh.
18	Q It's fair to say these are notes from
19	the phone call that you had with Caryn on
20	August 6th?
21	A Yes, it looks like it.
22	Q Okay. I'll give you a moment to read
23	through it.
24	A Okay. I remember this.
25	This second or third paragraph is

```
1
    what I had mentioned about Mr. Martinez sitting an
2
    employee who had complained of sexual harassment
3
    down with the person she said was the perpetrator
4
    and sort of forced them to discuss the situation
5
     in a meeting.
6
                THE WITNESS: And you can keep
7
    scrolling down.
8
                AV TECHNICIAN: (Technician complies.)
9
                THE WITNESS: Okay.
10
                Okay. Keep going.
11
                                (Technician complies.)
                AV TECHNICIAN:
12
                THE WITNESS: Okay. Next page.
13
                AV TECHNICIAN: (Technician complies.)
14
                THE WITNESS: Okay. I'm having trouble
    reading some of the writing.
15
16
    BY MS. McMAHON:
17
                That's okay. Me too.
          0
                MS. McMAHON: Sophia, if you could just
18
    scroll back up to the very top on page 1, that
19
20
    would be great.
2.1
                AV TECHNICIAN: (Technician complies.)
22
    BY MS. McMAHON:
23
                Okay. So it says on that first page,
    this is the -- one, two, three, four, five, six --
24
25
    sixth bullet down, "she thinks she can handle
```

1	this - she crafted with me a potential solution."
2	Do you see that?
3	
	A Yes, I do see it.
4	Q What did you what do you think Caryn
5	meant by saying that you can handle it and she and
6	you she and you came up with a potential
7	solution?
8	A Well, I assume that what I was saying
9	was if she were to be reassigned to Asheville,
10	that that would meet her needs, that would resolve
11	the situation with Mr. Martinez, and I thought
12	that that could definitely be an informal
13	resolution to a settlement agreement to this
14	problem.
15	Q And then if you'll go to page 3, that
16	second bullet down says, "she's on my side - she
17	said she'll deal with what she needs after that."
18	A I can see that.
19	Q Did you tell Caryn that you were on her
20	side?
21	A I don't recall saying that. I don't
22	recall saying that.
23	Q You mentioned earlier that your role
24	was as a neutral advisor.
25	Would you think it's appropriate to

1	tell one of the parties that you're on their side?
2	A I to answer that, I would have to
3	know the context.
4	One of the ways that you settle a
5	difficult employment situation is to look at what
6	is best for all sides. And so if I were trying to
7	settle this, I would have been looking to what was
8	good for the judiciary and the AO, as well as what
9	was good for the employee.
10	Typically, I would not say to someone
11	I'm on your side, but that may I don't remember
12	the conversation, so that might have been her
13	impression of what I was saying.
14	I think at some point, she began to
15	trust me, and so I can't explain the language.
16	My best my best explanation is that, as I said,
17	I to try to settle a case, I would have been
18	looking to what was good for the employee, what
19	was good for the managers, what was good for the
20	judiciary, and what was good for the AO.
21	Q And when Caryn writes, "she said she'll
22	deal with what she needs after that," what were
23	you dealing with?
24	A Well, if occasionally when I would
25	get involved with cases that were contentious,

1	the man a manager or managers might complain
2	to my boss or somehow communicate to me
3	displeasure, and that happened.
4	I don't recall any of that happening in
5	this case, but I think what I probably meant was I
6	was anticipating if there was negative reaction
7	from the public defender's Office in Charlotte
8	that I would deal with it.
9	Q Why would there be a negative reaction
10	in this case?
11	A Well, just based on what I knew of how
12	Mr. Martinez and Mr. Davis had handled the
13	allegations thus far. I thought, well, we may get
14	some negative feedback, and I and as I said,
15	Cait Clarke had told me some history on the
16	office, and I did not think that these were
17	managers that were particularly open to being
18	criticized.
19	Q That wasn't based on any of your own
20	personal knowledge, right? That was what Caryn
21	told you or Cait?
22	A That's what other people told me, yes.
23	MS. McMAHON: I know we've been going
24	for a while, so I'm happy to take a break again
25	for a couple minutes or whatever makes you most

```
1
     comfortable, Ms. Dunham.
2
                THE WITNESS: Okay. That sounds good.
3
     Five minutes is great.
4
                MS. McMAHON: Great. Let's do a
5
    bathroom break.
6
                (A recess was taken.)
7
                MS. McMAHON: So if we could go back to
8
    Exhibit 6, please.
9
                AV TECHNICIAN: (Technician complies.)
10
                MS. McMAHON: And if you could scroll
11
    down to page 5.
12
                AV TECHNICIAN: (Technician complies.)
    BY MS. McMAHON:
13
                Ms. Dunham, you'll see that right below
14
          Q
     that horizontal line, it's an e-mail from Caryn
15
16
     from Thursday, August 9th, and then below that is
17
     an e-mail from you on August 7th, 2018 at
     5:44 p.m.; is that right?
18
19
          Α
                Yes.
20
                And it appears that you're forwarding a
2.1
     couple e-mails between you and Cait Clarke from
22
     that same day; is that right?
23
          Α
                Yes.
24
                So it looks like you and Cait set up a
25
    call for August 7th, where you write, "Cait, Ready
```

1	for your call."
2	A Yes.
3	Q And when Cait e-mails you back, she
4	says, "Will make the call soon. Thank you for
5	handling this so discreetly. I hope our plan
6	works."
7	A Correct.
8	Q What plan was she referring to?
9	A I'm based on that information is I
10	think a plan to move Caryn out of Charlotte and
11	into another appropriate position.
12	Q Why
13	A To put
14	Q Go ahead.
15	A To put it more broadly, to resolve the
16	situation.
17	Q And why did Cait say, "Thank you for
18	handling this so discreetly"?
19	A Well, because confidentiality is a huge
20	part of handling these difficult employment
21	matters, and I believe that she took notice of the
22	fact that I was not, you know, talking to
23	The Washington Post or or or broadcasting
24	this through the agency.
25	I mean, these are very delicate

1	matters, and I think part of my job was knowing
2	who I needed to talk to who was in a position of
3	power and could do something when I saw a problem.
4	So I assume that's what she meant.
5	Q Did you or Cait discuss the plan that
6	she's referring to with anyone else in the AO?
7	A I don't know.
8	Q Did you discuss it with anyone else in
9	the Fourth Circuit or the FDO?
10	A No.
11	Q Then at 5:44 p.m. on August 7, it looks
12	like you forwarded that chain to Caryn; is that
13	right?
14	A Yes. I say, for your information.
15	Yes.
16	Q Did you tell Cait that you were
17	forwarding her e-mails to Caryn?
18	A I'm sure I told her that I would be
19	sharing communications between us with Caryn so
20	that Caryn could make decisions about what she
21	should do, and you can see that Caryn says, "Do
22	you think it's likely she will call this week?"
23	I mean, Caryn was preparing herself for
24	discussions she would be having with her
25	supervisors once they had talked to Cait.

```
1
                So at the very top of that page --
          Q
2
                MS. McMAHON: Sophia, if you could
3
    scroll up a little bit more above the horizontal
4
    line.
5
                                 (Technician complies.)
                AV TECHNICIAN:
6
                MS. McMAHON: There, that's perfect.
7
    BY MS. McMAHON:
8
                -- there's an e-mail from August 9th,
9
    2018, and that's an e-mail from you --
10
          Α
                Yes.
11
                -- to Caryn, and you write, She did
12
    called -- I think a typo -- and Tony was very
    responsive. He did say the AFD job was in
13
    Charlotte and he has no space in Asheville.
14
                                                  I
15
    proposed telework in the interim, and Cait is
16
    talking to him about that. Still working on it.
17
    He may apologize to you or talk about a solution,
18
    and if you are comfortable you can give him your
19
    views. My clear sense is that he is taking this
20
    very seriously.
2.1
                Is that right?
22
          Α
                Yes.
23
                So did you find this information out
24
    because Cait -- Cait talked to Tony, and then Cait
    called you; is that right?
25
```

1	A I believe that's correct.
2	Q And she said that Tony was very
3	responsive?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Was it your general impression at that
6	point that Tony was responsive in taking this
7	seriously?
8	A Well, I took Caryn at her word, and she
9	was the one that had direct contact with him, so
10	yes.
11	Q Or do you mean Cait?
12	Sorry, Ms. Dunham, you said
13	A Yes, yes. I'm sorry, Cait, not Caryn.
14	Q It's confusing with the the
15	alliteration.
16	A Yeah.
17	Q Was it your impression that Tony was
18	working in good faith to help Caryn find a
19	solution and resolve her claims?
20	A At or at or around this time, yes, I
21	believed that.
22	Q And were you encouraging Caryn to work
23	with him simultaneously to work out a solution?
24	A I can't recall that, but that would be
25	typical.

1	Q And you also told Caryn in this e-mail
2	that Tony said he has no space in Asheville; is
3	that right?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Did you have any reason to believe that
6	that wasn't true and that there was space in
7	Asheville?
8	A I had no information on that at all,
9	but I was disappointed that there was no space in
10	Asheville because I thought that would have been
11	a a great solution, but, no, I had no I had
12	no independent means to verify that.
13	Q Did it make you reconsider the
14	possibility of a transfer to Asheville as a
15	potential solution for Caryn?
16	A Well, there are two ways that a
17	transfer could occur, at least two ways. One is
18	if there's an open position, and Caryn would have
19	filled it.
20	There were other possibilities too. If
21	through an informal settlement agreement the
22	managers created a position in Asheville or
23	allowed Caryn to perform the job that she had been
24	performing in Charlotte from Asheville, that could
25	have been a solution as well.

```
1
                So I didn't think that everything was
2
     foreclosed because there was no current position
3
     in Asheville.
4
                To be clear, the e-mail reads, "he has
5
     no space in Asheville."
6
                Right. Now, I -- I can't recall what
7
     that meant. I assume either there's no position,
8
     there's no desk and workspace. I -- I just can't
9
     remember, but it was a clear no in terms of going
     to Asheville.
10
11
                MS. McMAHON: So we'll scroll up to
12
    page 1 -- sorry, page -- I'm sorry, not page 1,
13
    page 3.
                AV TECHNICIAN: (Technician complies.)
14
    BY MS. McMAHON:
15
16
                This is an e-mail from Caryn to you,
          0
17
    Nancy --
18
          Α
                Yes.
                -- from Friday, August 10th, at 9:44,
19
20
     and --
2.1
          Α
                Yes. I'm reading it now.
22
          Q
                Okay.
                THE WITNESS: Okay. I'm continuing to
23
24
            Can you scroll up a little bit?
     read.
25
                AV TECHNICIAN: (Technician complies.)
```

1	THE WITNESS: Oh, thank you.
2	I'm sorry, scroll down. I apologize.
3	AV TECHNICIAN: (Technician complies.)
4	THE WITNESS: There, there. That's
5	perfect.
6	Okay. And continue down.
7	AV TECHNICIAN: (Technician complies.)
8	THE WITNESS: Okay. I have finished
9	reading that, and I do recall the e-mail.
10	BY MS. McMAHON:
11	Q So if you could go to the
12	second-to-last full paragraph on page 3 that
13	starts with "The sticking point for him."
14	A Yes.
15	Q "The sticking point for him is (4)
16	transfer to Asheville duty station, as he says
17	there is no office space in Asheville," and then
18	Caryn continues on. She says that she would be
19	fine with working remotely - "especially since I
20	will be in appeals, which can be done from
21	literally anywhere."
22	So did you and Caryn discuss the
23	possibility of remote work as a solution?
24	A Yes, I think we did, and I think there
25	was a time in which she actually was doing remote

1	work, that they permitted her to do that.
2	Q And she communicated that she was fine
3	teleworking?
4	A I think she found it more favorable
5	than being in the Charlotte office with him.
6	Q Did you discuss with her teleworking as
7	a more permanent solution so that she didn't have
8	to work in Charlotte, but, again, there's no
9	office space in Asheville, so she didn't have a
10	desk there?
11	A Looking back on this, I recall being a
12	little skeptical that there was no office space in
13	Asheville.
14	Now, I don't know what the situation
15	was, I had never been there, but I've seen that
16	used as an excuse previously in in many other
17	cases, and I thought it was unlikely that office
18	space was could not be found.
19	Often in federal buildings, there are
20	multiple federal employees and multiple federal
21	positions, and I just that made me skeptical,
22	but
23	Q You had no
24	A I had no I had never been to
25	Asheville and I had never seen the offices, but it

1	seemed a little unlikely.
2	Q So if we could switch gears a little
3	bit.
4	A Sure.
5	Q Do you know who Heather Beam is?
6	A Is she in this
7	Q She is we're stepping away from the
8	exhibit.
9	A Oh, okay.
10	Q It's confusing when it's on the screen.
11	No problem.
12	A Heather Beam sounds familiar, and if I
13	were well, I'm not going to guess. My husband,
14	who's been listening, said don't speculate, but,
15	no, I I recognize the name, but I don't know
16	who she is.
17	Q So she's would it would it sound
18	familiar if I told you that she was investigating
19	Plaintiff's claims Plaintiff's wrongful conduct
20	claim?
21	A That sounds familiar, yes.
22	Q And she was appointed as investigator
23	in August of 2018?
24	A That sounds familiar.
25	Q Do you remember contacting the

1	investigator at any point?
2	A I recall a phone conversation with
3	Heather, the investigator; and Amaal Scroggins,
4	one of my senior lawyers; and me, yes.
5	Q What happened on that phone call?
6	A I do not recall that, but I what I
7	do recall is I think Amaal and I both let her know
8	that we thought this was a serious case and that
9	we needed to have her do a really good job in
10	in her investigation.
11	Q Do you did you call her?
12	A I don't recall.
13	Q Did Amaal call her?
14	A I don't recall. I know that we had the
15	phone conversation. I'm not sure sure who
16	initiated the call.
17	Q Did you know Heather before that phone
18	call?
19	A No, I did not. I think Amaal did,
20	though.
21	Q So that was the first time you talked
22	to her?
23	A I believe so, yes.
24	Q Besides for saying that you thought it
25	was a serious case and she should do a good job

1 with the investigation, did you tell her anything 2 else about her investigation? I believe we told her that we were in 3 Α 4 the process of trying to informally settle the 5 case -- I thought that that was important for her 6 to know -- that the case may not continue to 7 progress along the formal complaint lines, but 8 that we -- we were trying to create a situation 9 where the case would settle and was acceptable to 10 both parties. 11 Did you -- how -- how would that matter 12 that it was -- like, why did you think it was 13 important for her to know? 14 Α Well, I think that she might have at 15 any point been told that the matter was 16 investigated -- I mean, was settled, and then her 17 investigation would need to stop, and I think 18 probably what we were saying is do a good job -you know, do the work as quickly as you can, and, 19 20 you know, provide some information to all the 2.1 parties that need it. 22 So at that point, did you think an 23 investigation was -- was somehow interfering with 2.4 the informal settlement discussions that you had 25 been having?

1	
1	A No, not at all.
2	Q Okay. You thought it was, you know,
3	proper to be conducting a fact-finding
4	investigation into these claims?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Did you think the investigation could
7	help inform the settlement conversations?
8	A I thought it was possible, yes.
9	MS. McMAHON: Can we pull up Tab L,
10	please?
11	(Government Exhibit 8 was marked for
12	identification and is attached to the transcript.)
13	BY MS. McMAHON:
14	Q This is Exhibit 8, and this is an
15	e-mail from you, Ms. Dunham, to Lee Ann Bennett
16	from August 17th, 2018; is that right?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Do you want to take a moment to read
19	it?
20	A Yes.
21	Okay.
22	Q So in this e-mail, you describe the
23	interaction you had with Heather Beam; is that
2324	interaction you had with Heather Beam; is that right?

1	that Amaal had with her before she knew it was the
2	Charlotte matter.
3	Q Does this help fresh your recollection
4	about what happened?
5	A It does.
6	Q So is it fair to say that Amaal and
7	Heather talked, and then you, Amaal, and Heather
8	called her; is that right?
9	A At that at that
10	Q Sorry, you and Amaal called her.
11	A Called her, yes.
12	Q And do you know do you remember what
13	this e-mail was responding to from Lee Ann?
14	A Yes.
15	Lee Ann had a conversation with me and
16	said that Heather had told her or communicated to
17	her that some language like Heather was told to
18	stand down, give her anything she wants, this is
19	coming from the highest level of the agency, and I
20	was clarifying and explaining to Lee Ann that that
21	had not happened, that that Amaal made clear to
22	me that she had never said anything like that, and
23	I told her what exactly we talked about with
24	Heather separately.
25	Q You say in this e-mail that Tony and

1 Caryn had had formal -- informal resolution 2 discussions which could be negatively impacted by 3 a formal investigation. 4 Why do you think that? I don't remember exactly why. As I 5 6 mentioned, sometimes when things get into a formal 7 complaint mode, people's positions harden, and 8 this was all very delicate at the moment, because 9 Caryn was uncomfortable, Caryn was having 10 conversations of her own in terms of trying to settle the matter, and that we had OGC involved, 11 12 my office involved, Cait Clarke involved, to try to resolve the matter, and it -- you know, it --13 it was delicate. 14 15 And you write here, "I asked (did not 16 direct) her to hold off investigating until I got 17 more information." 18 Right. And I -- I honestly cannot 19 remember saying that, but that -- but if I did, I 20 think all I would have been saying -- I never 2.1 would have directed a judiciary employee about 22 anything, because I would not have had the 23 authority to do that. 2.4 I assume from this language that we 25 might have been close to resolving this so that,

1	you know, getting back into a formal investigation
2	may have been deleterious, but I cannot remember
3	for sure. I'm just, in part, trying to recall
4	based on this language.
5	Q And you just mentioned earlier it would
6	be typically, these types of fact-finding
7	investigations help you would encourage them,
8	and they would help resolve claims rather
9	A Yeah.
10	Q than harm that?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And at this point, you you hadn't
13	talked to Tony directly yet about any of Caryn's
14	claims; is that right?
15	A I don't believe I ever talked with Tony
16	directly.
17	Q Uh-huh. Did you talk to anyone else in
18	the besides Lee Ann in the AO about your
19	involvement in Caryn's situation?
20	A Yes. I would have talked with Lee Ann,
21	with Sheryl Walter, the general counsel. I would
22	have talked I did talk with Cait. As I said, I
23	talked originally with Laura Minor, who by that
24	time, I think, had retired.
25	So, yes, I had talked with a number of

1	people, including Amaal
2	Q And
3	A including Amaal in my office.
4	Q what was Amaal's role?
5	A Amaal is a was a senior civil rights
6	advisor at the time I was there. She was my
7	basically my most-senior lawyer. She worked on a
8	daily basis with court personnel and took
9	questions and problems from them and discussed how
10	to handle them, and so that was what happened in
11	this case.
12	She got a call and did not realize it
13	was the Charlotte matter that I had been working
14	on, and then we had the conversation with Heather.
15	Q And the people who you had just named
16	who you spoke to about this matter in the AO, did
17	they communicate to you that you shouldn't have
18	been involved in it?
19	A No. Never.
20	Q So everyone was comfortable with you
21	advising Plaintiff or Caryn in having a role?
22	A I do not recall anyone saying that I
23	should not be involved. In fact, you know,
24	Lee Ann definitely wanted me to be involved,
25	because she was concerned about the case.

1	Q Uh-huh. And you did continue to talk
2	to Caryn after August 17th and and throughout
3	the next couple months, as you mentioned earlier?
4	A I believe so, yes.
5	Q Okay. And what was your impression at
6	this point about how the investigation was going?
7	A I really cannot recall that, other than
8	what I see in writing in you know, in messages
9	from me.
10	Q Uh-huh. Do you remember what the
11	status was of the informal negotiation discussions
12	with Tony Martinez and Caryn in August in
13	mid-August of of 2018?
14	A Only based on what I have read this
15	morning.
16	Q Okay.
17	MS. McMAHON: Can you pull up Tab T,
18	please?
19	(Government Exhibit 9 was marked for
20	identification and is attached to the transcript.)
21	BY MS. McMAHON:
22	Q So I believe this is Exhibit 9.
23	A I can't see the very bottom of the
24	document.
25	AV TECHNICIAN: (Technician complies.)

1	THE WITNESS: Oh, okay. There is
2	nothing there. Okay.
3	BY MS. McMAHON:
4	Q Yeah. This is just one page, and this
5	is an e-mail chain between you and Caryn from
6	starting on August 24th, 2018.
7	A Yes.
8	Q So you e-mailed Caryn saying, "What
9	would be a good time for a call, so we can address
10	your questions about the various processes?"
11	A Right.
12	Q And you set up a phone call with Caryn,
13	right?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Do you remember what you discussed in
16	that phone call with Caryn?
17	A Well, only that I can read we were
18	discussing various processes, so I assume what we
19	were talking to her about were her options if she
20	wanted to bring a claim through the judiciary's
21	process.
22	Q Had she told you that she was not
23	interested in the informal settlement anymore?
24	A I don't think that she told me that. I
25	think that the circumstances involving everyone,

1	we had not reached a solution.
2	Q What was her sticking point?
3	A I don't recall, and I don't know if it
4	was her if it was her sticking point or her
5	manager's sticking point. I just don't recall.
6	Q Okay. At this point, were you still in
7	favor of trying to work out an informal
8	settlement?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And just to be clear on this, the
11	benefits of the informal settlement is that
12	it's it helps both sides; is that right?
13	A It can, if it's properly crafted, help
14	both sides.
15	Q In Caryn's
16	A And it is appropriate when an
17	employer gets allegations that are credible about
18	sexual harassment, what the law requires is that
19	they that the employer ceases the conduct that
20	is of concern and keeps the plaintiff or the
21	the employee in the position they would have been
22	absent harassment.
23	Q Were you considering how so you
24	would consider how that would be beneficial to the
25	employee who's alleging sexual harassment, right?

1	A Yes, certainly.
2	Q And were you considering at the time
3	how a settlement would be beneficial to the
4	federal defender's Office?
5	A Yes. And to the AO and to the
6	judiciary, in general.
7	Q And how would a settlement have
8	benefited them?
9	A Well, as I said, sexual harassment at
10	that time was in the news. It was a hot topic in
11	Congress, in for example, our director had to
12	go and testify before Congressional committees on
13	the issue of harassment in the judiciary, and here
14	was someone I believed with her background as a
15	District Court clerk, a U.S. Court of Appeals
16	clerk, a former U.S. Supreme Court Fellow, those
17	allegations, had they become public, would have
18	been could have been very damaging to the to
19	the judiciary.
20	And so I was concerned about that, and
21	I thought that informally settling the matter
22	would have solved Caryn's problem and also been
23	beneficial for the judiciary.
24	Q How does Caryn's background as a clerk
25	and as a Supreme Court Fellow make her allegations

1	more credible?
2	A I didn't say more credible. I think
3	that it would have attracted attention from the
4	media and others if they had become public.
5	The worst-possible situation, I think,
6	for an employer to be in is to have allegations
7	made against it that are credible by a person
8	who whom the news would consider to be
9	newsworthy, and her former positions would have
10	given her that credibility had she gone public,
11	and I think every
12	Q Did you ever talk
13	A I think everybody realized that.
14	Certainly, senior management realized that.
15	Q Did you ever talk to Caryn about going
16	public with her allegations?
17	A I believe that we talked about that as
18	one possibility. I mean, there are a plaintiff
19	or an employee who is experiences workplace
20	discord has a lot of different options, and that
21	is one of them.
22	I don't necessarily think that's a
23	great option in most cases, but, yeah, we probably
24	talked about that as one option.
25	Q Back to back to the exhibit.

1	So you discussed the processes with
2	Plaintiff or with Caryn on this call, and were
3	you aware at the time that she had an interview
4	with Heather Beam set up for August 30th, roughly
5	six days after this e-mail?
6	A I don't remember.
7	Q You don't remember discussing the
8	interview with her?
9	A I don't remember, no.
10	Q Do you remember if she had any
11	hesitations about proceeding with that interview?
12	A I can't say for certain under oath.
13	I'm just I would be speculating.
14	Q No problem.
15	And do you remember any well, would
16	you generally advise an employee to participate in
17	a formal fact-finding process when they are
18	alleging conduct such as sexual harassment?
19	A It would depend on the circumstances,
20	so I can't definitively answer that, but the
21	processes are in place to inform and resolve
22	workplace disagreements. And so, in general, I
23	would think that I believe that there was a
24	process in place that might have helped resolve
25	this.

1	Q Were you aware when Plaintiff filed a
2	report Plaintiff her sorry, Caryn herself
3	filed a report of wrongful conduct under
4	Chapter IX and a request for counseling under
5	Chapter X of the EDR Plan on September 10th?
6	A I recall that she initiated the
7	process. I couldn't have told you, though, when
8	it was.
9	Q Did you recommend that she initiate the
10	process at any point?
11	A I don't remember doing so.
12	Q Do you remember talking to her about
13	initiating an EDR claim before Caryn filed
14	officially filed her EDR claim?
15	A I don't recall doing that, but that
16	would have probably been one of the things we
17	would have discussed in this conversation with
18	Amaal, because that was one of the processes she
19	had at her desire to initiate.
20	MS. McMAHON: Can we pull up Tab U,
21	please?
22	(Government Exhibit 10 was marked for
23	identification and is attached to the transcript.)
24	BY MS. McMAHON:
25	Q This is Exhibit 10, and this is it's

```
1
     really just one page.
2
          Α
                Okay.
3
                An e-mail -- another e-mail chain
          0
4
    between you and Caryn starting on October 15th,
     2018. I'll give you a moment to read.
5
6
                Okay. Thank you.
          Α
7
                Okay.
8
          Q
                So it appears from this e-mail that
9
     Caryn e-mailed you letting you know the
10
     investigation was proceeding and that she met with
     Heather Beam; is that fair?
11
12
          Α
                Yes.
                Do you remember hearing anything else
13
     from Caryn about the investigation or any issues
14
15
     that she was having with the investigation?
16
          Α
                No.
17
                Did you have any reason -- any reason
          0
     to doubt that those overseeing the investigation,
18
     such as James Ishida or Chief Judge Gregory, were
19
20
     not operating in good faith and proceeding as
2.1
     contemplated by the EDR Plan?
22
                     Not that I recall.
                So it seems on -- if you scroll to the
23
24
     top, on October 15th, 2018, you write to Caryn,
25
     "Amaal and I will call you tomorrow to discuss
```

```
1
    your questions."
2
                Do you remember what these questions
3
    were?
4
                Well, I assume they're questions that
         Α
5
    are contained in what she forwarded to me about
6
    her concerns with other EDR claims that were being
7
    filed and her involvement as a witness.
8
                Do you remember if you discussed --
          Q
    discussed her going back into the office to move
9
10
    her things?
11
                This e-mail sounds familiar, yes,
         Α
12
    and...
13
                THE WITNESS: Can you scroll up a
    little, please?
14
15
                AV TECHNICIAN: I believe that's it.
16
                THE WITNESS: I'm trying to look at the
17
    second paragraph, "Second, I received an email" --
                MS. McMAHON: Yeah, scroll down just a
18
    little bit.
19
20
                AV TECHNICIAN: (Technician complies.)
2.1
                THE WITNESS: Ah, there you go. Okay.
22
    Perfect. Thank you. Yes.
23
                So she had concerns, and I'm assuming
    we discussed them with her.
24
25
    BY MS. McMAHON:
```

1	Q Did she say anything about whether she
2	ever planned to go back into the federal
3	defender's office in Charlotte?
4	A I don't recall that.
5	Q Did she say anything about whether she
6	planned to continue working at the federal
7	defender's office?
8	A I don't recall that either.
9	Q Did you have any reason to doubt that
10	the investigation and the EDR process was being
11	conducted in an appropriate way?
12	A No, I don't believe so. Not at that
13	time.
14	MS. McMAHON: You can take down the
15	exhibit, please.
16	AV TECHNICIAN: (Technician complies.)
17	BY MS. McMAHON:
18	Q So when was the last time that you
19	talked to Caryn?
20	A I don't recall, but it must have been
21	in early to mid 2019, and I'm recalling that I had
22	a lot of responsibilities to take care of when I
23	knew that I was going to be retiring at the end of
24	May, so I was very focused on handling those
25	responsibilities.

1	I had appointed Amaal Scroggins as my
2	acting fair employment practices officer, and so
3	she was beginning to pick up some of those
4	responsibilities, and I was filling out retirement
5	forms and a lot of other things that needed to be
6	done. So I probably would have talked to Caryn,
7	again, early to mid-2019, but I can't specifically
8	recall.
9	Q What date did you retire on?
10	A My last day physically in the office
11	was May 28th, I believe, a Wednesday, of 2019.
12	Q Did Caryn tell you how her claims were
13	resolved?
14	A No, I don't believe so.
15	Q Did she tell you did Caryn tell you
16	that she was going to mediation?
17	A I don't recall that.
18	Q Did Caryn ever tell you that she
19	accepted a Fourth Circuit clerkship as a result of
20	the mediation?
21	A No, I don't I don't recall that at
22	all.
23	Q Did Caryn ever follow up with you about
24	not pursuing a complaint under Chapter X of the
25	EDR Plan?

1	A No.
2	Q Ms. Dunham, is there anything else that
3	you wanted to add or say in this deposition?
4	A No, not that I can think of right now.
5	MS. McMAHON: Well, thank you so much
6	for your time. That's all from the Government.
7	THE WITNESS: Okay.
8	Oh, my husband just reminded me that my
9	last day was actually May 29th, 2019. We took a
10	couple of vacation days, and we were in Hawaii by
11	the following day, on the 30th.
12	MR. GERSEN: Great. Thanks so much.
13	I'm going to suggest that we take a
14	15-minute break, if that's okay. We've covered a
15	lot of ground that we were going to cover, and so
16	if we can cull that out, I think we can save some
17	time if we can take a little bit of extra right
18	now.
19	Is that okay with everyone?
20	MS. McMAHON: Yeah, that's great.
21	(A recess was taken.)
22	EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF
23	BY MR. GERSEN:
24	Q Good afternoon, Ms. Dunham. My name's
25	Jacob Gersen. I'm one of the attorneys

representing the Plaintiff in this case, as you
know.
I know you've been answering questions
for a long time, so I'll try not to take more of
your time than is necessary.
A Thank you.
Q I may pause occasionally to go back
over something, and if just to make sure that
we've covered it, but I'll try not to do too much
of that.
A Okay.
Q And just like was true as when the
Government was asking you questions, if there's
anything you're not sure about or I've asked a
confusing question, please just ask me to clarify.
A I will do that.
Q Great. And, similarly, if you need to
take a break at any time, just ask. Otherwise,
we'll probably try to go for about the same
duration.
duration.
A Okay.
A Okay.
A Okay. Q Okay. Great.

-	
1	A It was within the last two months, I
2	believe. I was aware of the
3	Q Uh-huh.
4	A I was aware of the case, because the
5	AO's Office of General Counsel contacted me and
6	let me know that the case was proceeding in the
7	Western District of North Carolina, and then about
8	two months ago, I think, I was contacted by
9	Ms. McMahon's office.
10	Q And how did they contact you? Do you
11	recall?
12	A By e-mail.
13	Q By e-mail.
14	And you don't happen to have that
15	e-mail with you, by chance?
16	A I do.
17	Q Would you mind pulling it up?
18	A Yep. Okay. Let's see.
19	Okay. That first e-mail was March 31st
20	of this year.
21	Q March 31st of this year. Thank you.
22	Could I trouble you to read it?
23	A Yes.
24	The e-mail to me said, I hope this
25	Ms. Dunham, I hope this e-mail finds you well. I

1	
1	am one of the Department of Justice attorneys
2	representing the AO in the Strickland v. U.S. case
3	brought by Caryn Devins Strickland.
4	Ms. Strickland's attorneys have
5	indicated they would like to take your deposition
6	and have asked us to reach out to you to see if
7	you're willing to appear. If so, we are happy to
8	take care of scheduling logistics and could assist
9	with your preparation.
10	We would also appreciate it if you
11	would be willing to talk with us about the events
12	that gave rise to this litigation and could
13	schedule a quick call at your convenience.
14	Thank you for your time. All the best,
15	Rachael.
16	Q Thank you.
17	And did you, in fact, talk with the DOJ
18	attorneys?
19	A Only by e-mail.
20	Q And what was the content of those
21	subsequent e-mails?
22	A I wrote back to Rachael Westmoreland.
23	I said, I'm sorry, but I don't think I can be of
24	any help to the Government in this particular
25	case. I will contact Ms. Strickland's attorney

1	directly and offer to testify for her, although
2	given my retirement in Hawaii, that would not be
3	my preference.
4	And then I said, I respectfully
5	recommend that you settle this matter and make
6	Ms. Strickland whole.
7	Q Thank you.
8	And I believe you testified you can
9	correct me if I'm wrong that you and the
10	Plaintiff or Caryn are otherwise not in contact?
11	A No. I have not talked to Caryn at all
12	since I retired, and given the fact I was pretty
13	sure that I would be subpoenaed to testify today,
14	I thought it better that I not discuss the
15	substance of the case with any of the parties.
16	Q I understand.
17	How did the Government respond when you
18	said that you thought they should settle the
19	matter?
20	A They did not say anything about that.
21	They let me know that they would be subpoenaing me
22	if I did not agree to testify, so I let her know
23	if if you and the Plaintiff's attorney agree on
24	the terms, I'll voluntarily testify.
25	Q Okay. Thank you.

1	And did you, in fact, ever contact any
2	of Ms. Strickland's attorneys?
3	A I did not. I changed my mind, because
4	I just thought it was more prudent to have
5	everyone ask me the questions that they wanted to
6	ask and have me truthfully answer those questions.
7	Q I understand.
8	Did the Department of Justice ever say
9	that we reached an agreement?
10	A They said that let's see we have
11	agreed with Plaintiff's counsel to conduct your
12	deposition via Zoom on April 17, 2023, and then
13	they served the subpoena and the deposition
14	notice.
15	Q Would you be willing to share that
16	e-mail with us?
17	A Yes.
18	What what the Department of Justice
19	said?
20	Q Yes, ma'am.
21	A Okay. So on April 10th, Rachael
22	Westmoreland said, Ms. Dunham, Thank you for your
23	cooperation. We have agreed with Plaintiff's
24	counsel to conduct your deposition via Zoom on
25	April 17, 2023. Attached are a copy of the

```
1
     subpoena and a deposition notice with additional
2
     details.
                Please confirm that you accept service
3
4
     of the subpoena versus e-mail. If we do not
     receive that confirmation, we will have a copy of
5
6
     the subpoena served on you personally.
7
                All the best --
8
                Thank you.
          Q
                -- Rachael.
9
          Α
10
          0
                Sorry to interrupt.
11
                Thank you.
12
                And would you be willing to e-mail or
     forward it to us?
13
14
          Α
                Sure.
15
          0
                Great.
                        Thank you so much.
                Uh-huh.
16
          Α
17
                The Government asked about your
          0
18
     availability for a deposition on April 25th to
19
     28th?
20
          Α
                Right.
                Well, I --
2.1
22
          Q
                Did they?
23
          Α
                      They gave me a number of options.
24
     The 17th, today, is the only day that I was
25
     available, and I will be out of the country
```

1	beginning on April 24th and continuing for about
2	two weeks.
3	Q Understood. Thank you.
4	And did you discuss the subject of your
5	testimony for the deposition with the Government?
6	A I did not.
7	Q Thank you very much.
8	MR. GERSEN: Could we please try to put
9	up Exhibit 3, which should be U.S. page 5359
10	This was Exhibit 3 from the initial
11	deposition from Plaintiff's side. We can
12	reintroduce it, or just reference it as needed.
13	(Discussion off the record.)
14	MS. McMAHON: We have no objection to
15	that.
16	AV TECHNICIAN: Okay. So I will go
17	ahead and mark it as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3 for
18	this deposition. Stand by.
19	(Plaintiff's Exhibit 3 was marked for
20	identification and is attached to the transcript.)
21	BY MR. GERSEN:
22	Q Are you able to see that, Ms. Dunham?
23	A I am.
24	Q Okay. Have you seen this e-mail
25	before?

1	A No.
2	(The Reporter clarified the record.)
3	THE WITNESS: I have not.
4	BY MR. GERSEN:
5	Q Could I ask that you take a moment to
6	read it?
7	A Sure.
8	Okay. I've finished.
9	Q Okay. I just want to reference a few
10	portions of it. I'm going to ask you about them,
11	if that's okay.
12	A Uh-huh. Yes.
13	Q The e-mail says that you allegedly
14	instructed one of your staff to tell Tony Martinez
15	that he needed to give the complainant, Caryn
16	Devins, whatever it is that she is asking for,
17	telework, relocation, et cetera, before Ms. Devins
18	hires an attorney or goes to the press.
19	Do you see that portion?
20	A I do.
21	Q Have I read it accurately?
22	A You've read it accurately.
23	Q Who do you believe alleged that?
24	A I assume Tony Martinez alleged that.
25	Q Did you, in fact, instruct your staff

1	to tell Tony Martinez that he needed to give the
2	complainant whatever she was asking for?
3	A This is patently false.
4	Q Did your staff say any of those things?
5	A To my knowledge, no, and nor would they
6	ever.
7	Q Did you yourself say any of those
8	things?
9	A I did not.
10	Q Do you know how Mr. Ishida could have
11	gotten that impression?
12	A I do not.
13	Q The e-mail says that you reportedly
14	said this was not a request but an order that
15	comes from the highest levels of the AO.
16	Do you see that passage?
17	A I do.
18	Q Did you, in fact, say that?
19	A I did not, nor would I ever have said
20	something like that.
21	Q And to the best of your knowledge, did
22	any member of your staff or the AO say that?
23	A No, they did not.
24	Q So someone who reported that you or
25	your staff said that those things would be

1	migroprogenting the truth, is that might?
	misrepresenting the truth; is that right?
2	A Yes.
3	Q With whom outside your office did you
4	or your staff communicate about the Caryn Devins
5	matter?
6	A I want to make sure I understand.
7	With whom at the AO?
8	Q Outside outside the AO.
9	A Oh, outside the AO.
10	I believe that, as we mentioned
11	earlier, we talked to Heather Beam, and that was
12	Amaal Scroggins and myself.
13	I don't believe that I had any
14	conversations with the the Charlotte office or
15	anyone else in the courts.
16	Q And your view is that it was likely
17	that Tony Martinez was the one who was alleged
18	making those allegations?
19	A Well, reading this, yes, I it looks
20	like Tony Martinez is reporting this allegation.
21	Q And reporting it to Mr. Ishida?
22	A I'm assuming so, yes.
23	Q Who then reported it to Chief
24	Judge Gregory?
25	A Yes.

1	Q And do you recall the roles of Chief
2	Judge Gregory and Mr. Ishida in the EDR process?
3	A Yes. They are they are the
4	basically, the the highest level of officials
5	in the EDR process that Caryn was involved in.
6	Q Mr. Ishida was the EDR coordinator, and
7	Chief Judge
8	A Yes.
9	Q Gregory was the supervisor?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Yes. Thank you very much.
12	Given your experience in helping to
13	enforce the civil rights laws and employee
14	protect employees from discrimination, do you have
15	a view of why someone would misrepresent
16	communications from your office in this way?
17	A Well, again, it's I'm speculating,
18	but I am assuming that they were not happy with my
19	position on Caryn Devins Strickland's case, and I
20	believe and I'm actually, I'm shocked to
21	read this. It's amazing what is happening behind
22	the scenes.
23	But, yeah, I mentioned earlier that one
24	of the ways that someone can try to interfere with
25	my office's function is to call one of my

1	supervisors, and Jim Duff was definitely one of my
2	supervisors. But I never knew any of this.
3	Q And why are you shocked?
4	A It is really first of all, because
5	it's not true, but second of all that that
6	there would be an attempt to interfere with the
7	role that my office had and and the
8	EDR process.
9	Q Mr. Ishida describes describes your
10	work as an interference; is that right?
11	A He says, yes, "tried to obstruct an
12	ongoing Fourth Circuit EDR investigation."
13	Q How would either first of all, how
14	would this be an interference with the
15	EDR process?
16	A Well, it looks like again, these
17	allegations are false, but that I told someone on
18	my staff to give to tell Tony Martinez to give
19	Caryn Devins whatever she's asking for.
20	Q More more straightforwardly, did you
21	try to obstruct an EDR process in any way?
22	A Absolutely not.
23	Q Would you ever try to obstruct an
24	EDR process in any way?
25	A Never.

1	Q Do you understand any of your
2	activities throughout this matter as plausibly
3	interfering or obstructing an EDR process?
4	A Absolutely not.
5	Q Okay. Could you please read the
6	sentence in the middle of the page aloud starting,
7	"After some checking"?
8	A Yes. I am reading that sentence.
9	I'm done.
10	Q Could you please read it aloud, just
11	for the record?
12	A "After some checking, Tony discovered
13	that this 'demand' did not come from the highest
14	levels of the AO, but from Ms. Dunham, who
15	coincidentally is a friend of the complainant,
16	Caryn Devins."
17	Q Were you and Caryn Devins friends at
18	this point?
19	A We were never friends.
20	Q Are you friends today?
21	A I would not call her a friend. She was
22	a person that was employed by the Federal Public
23	federal defender's Office with whom I interacted
24	on her case.
25	I liked and respected her and wanted to

1	try to resolve the matter as my job
2	responsibilities called for, but, no, she was
3	never a personal friend.
4	Q Did you know her before she came to
5	you?
6	A I never met her. I never I don't
7	believe I ever had any contact with her before she
8	came to me.
9	She and I were probably we may have
10	overlapped when she was a U.S. Supreme Court
11	Fellow, but without looking at the dates, I can't
12	say. But I never met her in that role.
13	Q Did you ever tell Tony Martinez that
14	you were friends?
15	A No, never.
16	Q Did you ever tell anyone that you were
17	friends?
18	A Never.
19	Q You said before that you were shocked
20	or found it shocking that this and that this
21	e-mail might interfere with the EDR process.
22	How did you mean?
23	A Well, Judge Gregory was going to be
24	deciding this case eventually, and the fact that
25	are falsehoods in here is somewhat concerning

1	concerning.
2	Q And which falsehoods exactly?
3	A Well, that I tried to obstruct an
4	ongoing Fourth Circuit EDR investigation, that
5	this was a directive that came from the highest
6	levels of the AO, that it was a demand, and that I
7	am a friend or was a friend of the complainant.
8	Q And why would it be concerning that
9	there was a falsehood introduced about those
10	things?
11	A Well, I think the roles of Mr. Ishida
12	and Judge Gregory were as neutral adjudicators,
13	and I think having these falsehoods presented to
14	them could interfere with decisions they made in
15	the future.
16	Q You'd you'd be concerned that an
17	e-mail like this with clear falsehoods could
18	affect the neutrality of either Mr. Ishida or
19	Judge Gregory, both key players in the
20	EDR process; is that correct?
21	A I would be concerned retrospectively
22	and having seen this for the first time today that
23	that could have happened.
24	Q And is it reasonable to think that
25	Chief Judge Gregory receiving this communication

might be influenced by it in his adjudication or
judgments?
A I would be speculating if I answered
that question.
I do not know Judge Gregory personally,
and and I cannot say for sure, but even reading
it today, it's concerning.
Q Understood.
Given your experience with workplace
discrimination claims which you testified about
earlier, do you have a view of why someone would
inaccurately report that you or someone from your
office complaining and the complainant, someone
who was complaining about discrimination, were
friends?
I could rephrase it. That would be
useful.
Given your experience extensive
experience with workplace discrimination claims,
do you have a view of why someone would
inaccurately report that a complainant complaining
of workplace discrimination and you or your office
were friends?
A I would speculate that such an
allegation would diminish my observations about

1	the case and possibly the the Plaintiff's
2	observations on her own face.
3	Q So you interpret the that sentence
4	as undermining your own credibility and
5	legitimacy?
6	A Yes, I do.
7	Q And do you interpret that sentence as
8	undermining the legitimacy and credibility of the
9	complainant, Caryn?
10	A Yes.
11	Q And would or does this letter make you
12	concerned that someone misrepresented facts to
13	undermine the advice given by the Office of Fair
14	Employment Practices?
15	A Yes.
16	Q In your experience with workplace
17	discrimination claims, why would someone want to
18	undermine legitimacy of an interaction with the
19	Office of Fair Employment Practices?
20	A There are so many possibilities, it's
21	hard for me to answer that.
22	Q Understood. Thank you.
23	Is one reason that someone would want
24	to undermine the credibility or legitimacy of an
25	interaction with your Office of Fair Employment

1	Practices to protect an accused party in their
2	office?
3	A Yes, definitely.
4	Q Is reaching out to your office for
5	advice generally considered something that could
6	interfere in the EDR process?
7	A Absolutely not. Again, my attorneys
8	that interact regularly on a daily basis with the
9	courts and court staff have conversations about
10	cases, they provide guidance, and that is never
11	considered interference.
12	Q Is there anything wrong with a federal
13	public defender employee coming to your office for
14	advice about what to do about sexual harassment or
15	workplace discrimination?
16	A No.
17	Q Is that, in fact, what an employee of
18	the federal public defender or the judiciary ought
19	to do if they are concerned about workplace
20	discrimination?
21	A Yes.
22	Q You told Ms. McMahon that nobody told
23	you that you shouldn't be involved in this matter.
24	Seeing this e-mail, does it refresh
25	your memory on whether you were told at any point

1	to stop being involved?
2	A No. I was I absolutely was never
3	told by Ms. Bennett or Mr. Duff to stop being
4	involved in this case. I'm sure I would have
5	remembered that, and that would have been very out
6	of character for either of them.
7	Q Can you tell me about the conversation
8	with James Duff and tell me who James Duff is
9	again, please?
10	A James Duff is the former director of
11	the AO. He was my second-level supervisor, and I
12	probably had one conversation with him, maybe two,
13	about this case.
14	I recall him asking me to send some of
15	the communications that Caryn had sent to me so
16	that he could look at them himself, and I did
17	that, but other than that, I never discussed the
18	case with him.
19	Q And do you recall when that was?
20	A Oh, it had I think it must have been
21	in in the spring of or early 2019, but I
22	can't tell you exactly when.
23	Q And what did you have what did he
24	think about those communications?
25	A Would I'm sorry, would you re

1	re-question restate the question?
2	Q Yes.
3	You sent him you said, I believe,
4	that you sent him what Caryn sent you; is that
5	correct?
6	A Yes.
7	Q And my question is: What was his
8	reaction to those to that material?
9	A He did not react to that.
10	I believe he asked me at an AO party if
11	I could send him certain documents that Caryn had
12	provided to me because he I'm assuming he
13	wanted to familiarize himself with the case, and
14	so I did so. He did not say anything more to me
15	about the case.
16	Q And did you reach out to him, or did he
17	reach out to you?
18	A He reached out to me.
19	Q He reached out to you about the matter.
20	And do you happen to know how he
21	learned about the case?
22	A I'm assuming, again, based on the
23	overlying context, that it was from either Lee Ann
24	Bennett, his deputy, or the general counsel, or
25	both.

1	Q From Lee Ann Bennett or the general
2	counsel or both; is that correct?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And did Lee Ann can you remind me
5	who Lee Ann Bennett is once more?
6	A She was Jim Duff's deputy director.
7	Q Got it.
8	And she never expressed a concern to
9	you?
10	A Oh, she did express a concern to me.
11	When we first discussed these
12	allegations in in meetings with her and me
13	privately, as well in meetings with her and the
14	general counsel's office and me, yes, she
15	expressed concerns.
16	And I recall specifically her noting
17	and, again, she's not a lawyer, but I thought it
18	was incredibly intuitive that she understood the
19	power differential and how Mr. Mar Mr. Davis'
20	attempt to control Caryn was of concern.
21	Q And when you say "of concern," do you
22	mean of concern with respect to workplace
23	discrimination and sexual harassment?
24	A Yeah. Yes.
25	Q Thank you.

1	And did she ever express concern to you
2	about the propriety of your communication with
3	Caryn?
4	A No.
5	Q And did Sheryl Walter ever express
6	concern to you about your involvement or conduct
7	in this matter or case?
8	A Not that I recall, and that would be
9	very unlike Sheryl Walter. We worked
10	Q How so?
11	A We worked very cooperatively, and we
12	recognized that we had different roles.
13	It was Sheryl Walter's position was
14	to protect and defend the AO and the judiciary.
15	It was my role to provide guidance to senior
16	officials on the civil rights laws, and generally
17	we agreed, I mean, and I worked very cooperatively
18	with both Sheryl Walter and Bill Meyers, and
19	considered both of them trusted and valued former
20	colleagues.
21	Q Understood. Thank you.
22	You mentioned that control relates to
23	sexual harassment, and that both you and I think
24	Lee Ann Bennett were concerned about that; is that
25	correct?

1	A Yes.
2	Q Could you say a little bit more about
3	that?
4	You said, I think, that that was part
5	of classic sexual harassment. Could you explain a
6	bit about what you mean?
7	A Yes, and I will tell you a personal
8	anecdote that I think does illustrate it.
9	Since I have retired, I have watched a
10	lot of the news stories on sexual harassment,
11	including the allegations against Governor Cuomo
12	in New York and what led to his resigning his
13	position, and there were a number of women that
14	made allegations against him.
15	And I recall thinking when I heard
16	about them, there was a woman who alleged that he
17	groped her, so there was a physical assault, but
18	there was also another woman who alleged that he
19	had made her uncomfortable by his obvious sexual
20	and romantic interest in her.
21	There was never any groping or physical
22	assault in that case, and I remember thinking that
23	is exactly what happened with Caryn Devins. No
24	physical touching, no groping, but nevertheless
25	a a desire to control her and and an obvious

1	interest in her either sexually or romantically.
2	And I thought, boy, I I will say
3	this: I said to myself, I was right in this case,
4	because I did get some I did get some feedback
5	from one of the staff attorneys in OGC, who who
6	I often disagreed with, that this was not a
7	problem, that this case you know, I was not
8	assessing this case properly, and I remember
9	thinking, you know what, I was right.
10	Q Understood. Thank you.
11	Did Caryn ever tell you that other
12	employees observed the kind of interest in her
13	that you just described?
14	A No, never.
15	Q Okay. Thank you.
16	I want to
17	MR. GERSEN: Could we introduce one
18	our next exhibit, please?
19	Just give me one moment. Sorry.
20	THE WITNESS: Sure.
21	MR. GERSEN: I'm sorry, I'm having a
22	technical glitch. Just bear with me for one
23	minute. Thank you so much.
24	THE WITNESS: Sure.
25	MR. GERSEN: Okay. Could we actually

1	put up Government's Exhibit 4?
2	AV TECHNICIAN: So, Counsel, to
3	confirm, was that the Exhibit 4 we marked earlier
4	today, or the one you uploaded just now?
5	MR. GERSEN: It was the one marked
6	earlier today by the Government.
7	AV TECHNICIAN: I understand. Thank
8	you. Stand by.
9	BY MR. GERSEN:
10	Q Okay. Do you remember this exhibit
11	from earlier today?
12	A I do.
13	Q Great.
14	MR. GERSEN: Now, apologies, but could
15	we switch to our exhibit that we just uploaded?
16	(Discussion off the record.)
17	MR. GERSEN: We are struggling with our
18	exhibits.
19	Could we please take a five-minute
20	break so we don't waste anyone's time?
21	THE WITNESS: Sure.
22	MR. GERSEN: Thank you so much.
23	(A recess was taken.)
24	MR. GERSEN: That last exhibit need not
25	be withdrawn. That's the correct exhibit and

```
1
    correct destination.
2
                (Plaintiff's Exhibit 39 was marked for
3
     identification and is attached to the transcript.)
4
                MR. GERSEN: So please display what is
5
    designated as Exhibit 39.
6
                AV TECHNICIAN: Yes. Stand by,
7
    Counsel. I need to re-mark it.
8
                MR. GERSEN: We apologize for the
9
    confusion. Thank you for bearing with us.
                THE WITNESS: No worries.
10
                MR. GERSEN: We can start at the top on
11
12
    the first page. Thank you.
                AV TECHNICIAN: (Technician complies.)
13
    BY MR. GERSEN:
14
15
                Are you able to see that -- that
16
    e-mail, Ms. Dunham?
17
                Yes, I am.
          Α
18
                Would you mind just reading the
     information on the page?
19
20
                It needs to go down, back to the
2.1
    exhibit that was a text, I believe.
22
                Actually, I'm just interested in that
23
     first part, the subject.
2.4
          Α
                Oh, the subject. Yes, I see that.
25
    Quid pro quo e-mail, and then there are two
```

1	attachments.
2	Q Great.
3	The rest of the e-mail is blank?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And do you recall what those
6	attachments were?
7	A Yes. I believe that was the text about
8	I pay for stay or I pay to stay, something like
9	that.
10	MR. GERSEN: And if we could scroll
11	down to the next page, which is the attachment.
12	AV TECHNICIAN: (Technician complies.)
13	MR. GERSEN: Great. Thank you.
14	BY MR. GERSEN:
15	Q Do you recognize this text?
16	A I do.
17	Q And this is an e-mail that Caryn sent
18	to you?
19	A Yes.
20	Q How do you understand that Caryn
21	interpreted this e-mail?
22	A I think she interpreted it as from
23	J.P. Davis, if you do what I want you to do, you
24	will be appropriately compensated for the better.
25	Q Is that what she meant by "quid pro

```
1
     quo" in the subject line?
2
                Yes, I believe it is.
3
                And would you mind reading the text?
          0
4
     It's relatively brief.
5
                It says, Dude, you're shooting high
6
    with a GS-15 [sic]. Not least of all since you'll
7
    need 5 more years of federal service to qualify
8
     for it. But fret not, I have a plan...just
9
     remember I deal in pay-for-stay, and then an emoji
10
     smile.
11
                Thank you.
          0
12
                Do you know if Mr. Davis was correct
     that she needed five more years of service to
13
     qualify for a G15?
14
15
                I -- I do not know, but that -- given
16
    her experience, that sounds unlikely.
17
                And would that be relevant to how she
     read or understood the e-mail?
18
                Well, it would be relevant if it were
19
          Α
     false --
20
                How is that?
2.1
          0
22
                -- and then -- and then he is
23
     explaining that he has a plan.
24
                In other words, he has a way of solving
25
     that problem if she stays with him in that office.
```

1	Q And what do you mean by saying J.P. was
2	saying "if you do what I want"?
3	A I deal in pay-for-stay, so I want you
4	to stay, and if you stay, you will get the
5	GS level and pay that you want.
6	Q So if if Caryn did what J.P. wanted,
7	then he would well, he would pay her more
8	money?
9	A That's the clear implication of this,
10	yes.
11	Q I deal in pay-for-stay, pay-for-stay?
12	A (No verbal response.)
13	Q And did you interpret this e-mail to be
14	a quid pro quo e-mail?
15	A It could be construed as that.
16	Typically, "quid pro quo" refers to sex
17	for a workplace benefit, but since physical
18	interactions were not part of this case, I would
19	take it that the quid pro quo was having Caryn
20	remain under his supervision and control for a
21	workplace benefit. So, yes, I think that's a fair
22	reading of quid pro quo.
23	Q Submit to his control for a workplace
24	benefit?
25	A Yes.

1	Q And if she had submitted to his control
2	for a workplace benefit for more for more
3	salary, would you be concerned that she would be
4	submitting to sexual advances at some further
5	date?
6	A That would that would definitely be
7	a concern.
8	Q Would it be a reasonable concern for
9	Caryn to have after receiving that e-mail, in your
10	view?
11	A I believe so.
12	Q Do you given the subject line of
13	Caryn's e-mail, do you think that she interpreted
14	J.P.'s communications as a sexual quid pro quo
15	e-mail?
16	A I believe that would have been a
17	reasonable interpretation. I can't speak to
18	exactly what was on her mind at the time.
19	Q Understood.
20	Do you recall the text messages that
21	were shown as Government Exhibit 5 today?
22	We can put up the exhibit, if you'd
23	like to see them again.
24	A If you just refer to the language, I
25	bet I can recall it.

1	Q Yeah, it was the text where she was
2	referred to as "tough girl" by J.P. Davis?
3	A Oh, yes, right, the ride home in the
4	rain.
5	Q Yeah.
6	What, if anything, did you make of
7	language like "tough girl"?
8	A I think it was not the kind of language
9	one would expect from a senior official to a more
10	junior lawyer in the office in other words,
11	overly familiar and I also think that I'll
12	restate what I originally said, which was she had
13	concerns about being alone with him in the office
14	or in the car, and it was clear that he was trying
15	to have her take a ride home with him alone.
16	Q Those messages were after the e-mail,
17	is that right, or about the raise?
18	A Oh, I don't know. I'd have to check.
19	Q Okay. We could
20	A Let's see. 5/18, and then I don't
21	recall what the other one was.
22	MR. GERSEN: Could we put up Government
23	Exhibit
24	Sorry, I'm just looking for it now.
25	THE WITNESS: Sure.

1	MR. GERSEN: Government Exhibit 5?
2	AV TECHNICIAN: (Technician complies.)
3	THE WITNESS: Oh, yes. The the
4	tough girl, last chance for a ride text is on
5	June 21st, so that would be after the the
6	compensation e-mail.
7	BY MR. GERSEN:
8	Q And you mentioned that it would be
9	inappropriate or concerning if it was from a
10	senior supervisor to a junior employee.
11	Does the fact that it was a male
12	supervisor to a female supervisee affect your
13	view?
14	A Yes. That would be and was even
15	more of a concern, especially when he had openly
16	expressed his interest in her by saying she was
17	hot to a number of other employees.
18	Q In your experience, do a lot of male
19	supervisors call female supervisees "girl"?
20	A Also inappropriate.
21	Q This e-mail was sent, it looks like, at
22	6:44 p.m.?
23	A Yes.
24	Q In your experience, when do federal
25	offices close?

A Well, I don't believe I ever worked
past 7:00 p.m., but I do recall Caryn specifically
saying on this occasion the workplace was
virtually empty, and that made her additionally
concerned about being alone with him in the
building and, in addition, being alone in a car
with him.
Q And does this text exchange make you
more or less concerned that the prior quid pro quo
e-mail might have been a precursor to further
sexual advances?
A This text made me more concerned.
Q Thank you.
You testified earlier that at some
point, Caryn got uncomfortable with the
communications with J.P. at work?
A Yes.
Q In your experience, can people have
interpersonal relationships that are positive that
later change after a boundary is crossed?
A Oh, absolutely.
Q And can warm, interpersonal
relationships that are positive sometimes be seen
or understood as grooming in hindsight for further
sexual advances?

1	A Absolutely.
2	Q Is this common in sexual harassment
3	cases?
4	A In my experience, from what I have read
5	in published cases as well as seen in my workplace
6	interactions as a civil rights lawyer or defense
7	attorney, yes.
8	Q Thank you.
9	Can you help me understand a little bit
10	about the relationship between your office and the
11	AO and OGC?
12	A My office, fair employment practices
13	office?
14	Q Yes, ma'am.
15	A And OGC, yes.
16	As I mentioned before, within the AO,
17	they the AO and its managers are represented by
18	the Office of General Counsel with the specific
19	goal of avoiding future liability.
20	My office was, and my staff attorneys
21	were, in the position of giving among other
22	duties, giving neutral advice to both managers and
23	employees about workplace discrimination,
24	harassment, and the other civil rights laws.
25	Q And who's entitled to ask for advice

1	from OGC?
2	MR. GERSEN: And, I'm sorry, you can
3	take the exhibit down. Thank you.
4	AV TECHNICIAN: (Technician complies.)
5	THE WITNESS: OGC is likely to be
6	contacted by agency managers and other officials.
7	I I would say they probably have
8	been approached from time to time by employees,
9	but that's not the ordinary case.
10	BY MR. GERSEN:
11	Q Thank you. These are really actually
12	clarifying questions.
13	So OGC, in general, although they might
14	be approached by an employee, that would be
15	unusual?
16	A Right. And we have we, as a civil
17	rights office, have much more, to my knowledge,
18	contact with employees.
19	Q Much more contact with employees?
20	A Much more, yes.
21	Q Okay. Understood.
22	And OGC does, though, sometimes give
23	advice legal advice to super like
24	supervisors and unit heads?
25	A Oh, absolutely.

Q And their concern, I think you said
earlier, was primarily defensive or protective?
A Yes.
Q In the sense that they would be
guarding the either the agency's or the
judiciary's interest?
A Yes.
Q Understanding, in some ways, the
employee's claims as risk to the institution; is
that fair?
A Yes.
Q And that was not true of your office,
correct?
A That was not true of my office, no.
Q So who whose office is in charge of
giving guidance to judicial branch employees who
have experienced sexual harassment or sex
discrimination?
Is that your office, or is it a
different office?
A It's primarily my office.
There is a new position that was
created that reported directly to the AO director
to take claims and concerns from employees who
were experiencing discrimination and harassment.

1	So that occurred probably, I think, in
2	2019 before I retired, so there were actually two
3	places that an employee could go. One was this
4	and I don't even remember what the title was, but
5	the individual provided guidance to employees and
6	discussed concerns with them, and that person
7	reported directly to Director Duff.
8	Q So
9	A Two possible avenues for employees to
10	use, in addition to their own EDR programs in the
11	courts.
12	Q And the the second office you
13	mentioned did not exist at the time of Caryn's
14	complaint or allegations, or it did?
15	A No, I think it did, and I just can't
16	remember if Caryn actually made contact with that
17	office, and she may not have told me, so I I
18	just don't know, but it was an available resource
19	as well.
20	Q Understood.
21	What is the is that the judicial
22	integrity office?
23	A Yes, it is.
24	Q So there's fair employment, and then
25	judicial integrity

1	A Yes.
2	Q correct?
3	A Yes.
4	Q So employees could go to either
5	office
6	A Yes.
7	Q if they wanted to?
8	A And sometimes both.
9	Q And sometimes both.
10	And forgive me for going over it once
11	more. I'm just trying to understand the lay of
12	the land.
13	That office had different authority
14	or a different authority or role than your
15	office?
16	A Yes, because we actually processed
17	discrimination complaints, and that office, I
18	believe, was 100 percent advisory.
19	So employees could report concerns to
20	the Office of Judicial Integrity, and the head of
21	that office would give give advice to the
22	employee and then to the extent possible would
23	bring in the managers to to prevent
24	discrimination and harassment.
25	Q And I think you said

1	A But they had they had no complaint
2	processing authority the way my office did.
3	Q And I thought you said earlier that
4	that second office, the judicial integrity office,
5	was created in 2019?
6	A Yes, on or about that time. It was
7	created as a result of Congressional inquiries to
8	the harassment to harassment and discrimination
9	in the judicial branch, and so I believe as a
10	means of providing employees more options, the
11	AO management decided that that would be a good
12	move.
13	Q Are you you're familiar with those
14	Congressional investigations?
15	A Yes.
16	Q And what was their concern?
17	A Well, I mean, again, at the time, this
18	issue of sexual discrimination and harassment was
19	all over the news. It was it was a concern for
20	the judiciary, the Congress, the executive
21	branches, and we had Congressional inquiries about
22	how our branch of government dealt with these
23	allegations.
24	And so Director Duff testified before
25	Congress on that issue, and, you know, it was

1	it was on everyone's minds, even more than in
2	my experience in 35 years of legal practice, even
3	more than previously.
4	Q And is it fair to say that
5	Director Duff was concerned that the existing ways
6	of addressing and responding to complaints of
7	sexual harassment were inadequate at the time?
8	A No. I think that's going too far. I
9	think Director Duff and I have the utmost
10	respect for him and Lee Ann Bennett. I believe
11	they were always people who always wanted to do
12	the right thing.
13	Regardless of, you know, what their
14	lawyers told them or otherwise, they wanted to do
15	the right thing, and this office was a means of
16	achieving that.
17	Q And that office, the new office, the
18	judicial integrity office, trying to do the right
19	thing and give employees a better means of
20	preventing and responding to sexual harassment in
21	the judiciary?
22	A Yes.
23	Q And how did it do that, or how is it
24	A Well, I believe and, again, this
25	office was only in existence for about four to

1	five months before I retired, but the head of that
2	office would discuss allegations that she found
3	concerning with the director, because she reported
4	directly to him.
5	Director Duff was my second-level
6	supervisor, so this office, I believe almost
7	exclusively informally, dealt with those
8	allegations, and if she gathered information
9	that office had gathered information and would
10	look at repeating claims of discrimination or
11	harassment in a particular office, and if patterns
12	made themselves clear, she would inform the
13	director of those concerns.
14	Q Were you aware at the time or
15	subsequently of any patterns of sexual harassment
16	or discrimination in this federal defender's
17	office?
18	A Well, I knew through Caryn that there
19	were a number of complaints that were filed,
20	including in the case that we looked at earlier.
21	So that that is the only
22	pattern I knew of, that there were other
23	complaints of, I would say, discrimination in that
24	office.
25	Q Thank you.

```
Was there at the time, then, any other
1
2
     office that someone who experienced sexual
3
    harassment or sex discrimination could report or
4
     get advice from?
5
                No, I don't believe so. I think the --
6
     I think that -- in terms of reporting and --
7
    and -- and having the -- having the AO address
8
    what is going on either at the AO or in the
9
     courts, I believe my office and the Office of
10
     Judicial Integrity were the exclusive means of
11
    raising those issues.
12
                And you described, again, your office
     as a neutral --
13
14
          Α
                Yes.
15
                -- and I think you explained that as
16
    not being defensive protecting the institution or
17
     acting on behalf of the complainant; is that fair?
18
                Yes, yes.
          Α
19
                And you described OGC as being
20
     defensive in posture --
2.1
          Α
                Yes.
22
                (The Reporter clarified the record.)
23
    BY MR. GERSEN:
2.4
                You described OGC as defensive in
25
     posture?
```

1	A Yes.
2	Q OGC as defensive in posture protecting
3	the interest of the judicial branch or the
4	supervisors or unit managers; is that fair?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Okay. Was there any party or
7	institution within the judiciary that was
8	dedicated to protecting the interest of the
9	employees in these matters?
10	A I would say that the EDR process was
11	designed to protect judicial employees, and then
12	again, my office and the Office of Judicial
13	Integrity were there to prevent I mean, to
14	to present claims of discrimination and harassment
15	so they could be dealt with at the
16	soon-as-possible point.
17	But certainly the judiciary's
18	EDR process was designed to do the the same,
19	and that, I would say, is primarily an
20	employee-based process.
21	Q And a process designed to protect the
22	interest of the employees?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Who have experienced sex discrimination
25	or harassment?

1	A Yes.
2	Q Thank you.
3	So in your experience with handling sex
4	discrimination complaints, is it typical for OGC
5	to give legal advice to the accused party or
6	person?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And why is that?
9	A Well, because when someone is accused
10	of discrimination or harassment, OGC's role is to
11	advise the manager on what their legal
12	requirements are and hopefully to change the
13	behavior, and, again, in in protection of the
14	integrity of the judiciary and to eliminate or
15	prevent any future discrimination or harassment.
16	Q And in your experience, what did OGC do
17	when there was a conflict between a legitimate
18	claim of sex discrimination or harassment and the
19	interest of the accused or the judiciary?
20	A You mean when when those interests
21	diverge?
22	Q Yes.
23	MS. McMAHON: I'm going to
24	THE WITNESS: Their role
25	MS. McMAHON: I'm going to object on

1	attorney-client privilege grounds here and direct
2	the witness not to answer about any specific
3	advice that OGC would have given to one party or
4	another.
5	BY MR. GERSEN:
6	Q Were you or your office clients of OGC?
7	A No, although although I'll expand
8	on that a little bit.
9	No, we were not, but if I had a
10	question as a senior manager about how to proceed
11	in my own managerial decisions, I often talked to
12	OGC about it, because I was, in addition, a
13	manager of a number of attorneys, a number of
14	interns, and, as I said, I had very good relations
15	with the general counsel and the deputy general
16	counsel, and we had discussions regularly about
17	how to proceed in a given matter.
18	Q Without disclosing any specific advice,
19	in your experience, how did OGC resolve conflicts
20	between the different parties' interests in
21	complaints of sex discrimination or harassment?
22	A Sheryl Walter and Bill Meyers, in my
23	view, were some of the most ethical lawyers I have
24	worked with in the past, and that's part of the
25	reason that we worked so well together and I think

1	were able to stop discrimination and harassment in
2	many, many cases, because of that compatible
3	relationship and our understanding of the law.
4	Q It sounds like you have a lot of
5	respect for OGC in general?
6	A In general, I do.
7	Q And a lot of respect for Jim Duff as
8	well?
9	A I do.
10	Q And you worked well in your office?
11	A Yes.
12	Q You were on good terms with the people
13	in your office?
14	A Yes, I was on excellent terms with my
15	two supervisors, Jim Duff and Lee Ann Bennett.
16	Q And you retired on good terms, I take
17	it?
18	A I did. I I have nothing but fond
19	memories.
20	You know, obviously, there were cases
21	that I felt like I wish I could have done more at
22	an early point, and the Plaintiff's case is one of
23	those. I felt like there was a real means to make
24	the workplace situation better for everyone and
25	not to have the Plaintiff's career sabotaged as

1	ultimately I believe it was.
2	She's no longer employed by the
3	judiciary, but it it is a case I regret I could
4	not have done more about.
5	Q What do you wish you could have done
6	more or done earlier?
7	A I wish that we could have settled the
8	matter ear I mean, every civil rights
9	commentator suggests and recommends early dispute
10	resolution. It's better for everyone. And I
11	think this was the perfect case of we had we
12	had an opportunity, but somehow it it was
13	sidetracked.
14	Q And do you have a sense or a theory of
15	how it got sidetracked?
16	A Well, that e-mail from Mr. Ishida is
17	some evidence of that, yes.
18	Q The e-mail from Mr. Ishida is some
19	evidence that that process got sidetracked by?
20	A By him.
21	Q By Mr. Ishida and Mr. Martinez?
22	A Yes.
23	Q I want to go back, if I could, to your
24	initial conversations with Caryn for a few
25	minutes.

1 Α Sure. 2 I think you've talked about them quite 3 extensively, so I won't belabor the point, but can 4 you give me a sense of your impression of Caryn in 5 your first few conversations? 6 Oh, I thought she was honest and 7 articulate, and the reason I say honest was she 8 said things about herself that were both positive 9 and negative. 10 She was not, as often when you distrust what somebody is telling you, you know, giving me 11 12 a description of the workplace as totally favorable to her and she could do no wrong, and 13 she gave me a very, I thought, reasoned and fair 14 description of what was going on, and, again, I 15 16 was aware of her history as a judiciary employee, 17 and she was an impressive person, an impressive 18 employee. What negative things did she say about 19 herself? You mentioned some. 20 2.1 I can't remember exactly, but I do 22 remember thinking here is somebody who is 23 accurately reporting to me and is not giving me 24 all negative statements about her supervisors and 25 all positive things about herself.

Q So in your
A So that was my impression.
Q My apologies. Thank you.
So in your experience both in the
executive branch and the judicial branch, you, I
take it, heard a lot of these complaints of sexual
harassment or sex discrimination?
A Thousands.
Q Thousands?
And do you always believe the people
who come to you with these complaints?
A No, I do not. Just the opposite.
Q And say a little bit more.
A Well, there are people who make
complaints that are inherently unreliable, and
and I have seen many of them.
When I was a judicial clerk and was
adjudicating working on adjudicating the cases,
I saw plaintiffs who were unreliable and I
believed were creating false claims.
I mentioned that in a deposition I
testified in at EPA, there was an employee who was
making a false claim about a sexual assault by one
of the EPA managers, and I testified on behalf of
the agency.

1	So when you when you when you
2	look at a lot of these cases over 35 years, I
3	would say the vast majority of the claims are not
4	true. So in in the few times when I worked at
5	the AO when I believed strongly believed what a
6	plaintiff was saying, those are the cases I really
7	tried to intervene and assist with what the law
8	tells us to do, which is to remedy and prevent
9	future harassment and discrimination.
10	Q And so having seen thousands of these
11	cases, you're actually more likely to disbelieve
12	the complaints than believe them?
13	A Yes, just based on numbers.
14	Q And did you believe Caryn's allegations
15	about J.P. Davis?
16	A I did. I did.
17	Q And did you believe her allegations
18	about Tony Martinez?
19	A I did.
20	Q Do you believe she was telling you the
21	truth?
22	A I do.
23	Q Do you think her concerns about her
24	workplace were reasonable?
25	A I do.

1	Q And did you feel in those conversations
2	like she was sincere?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Did you suspect that she was being
5	manipulative or deceptive?
6	A No, I did not.
7	Q Did you think Caryn was telling a false
8	story to try to get a transfer to another work
9	location?
10	A No, I did not.
11	Q Did you think she might be fabricating
12	or exaggerating things that had happened so she
13	could get to work exclusively in appeals?
14	A No, I did not.
15	Q Thank you.
16	MR. GERSEN: Can we put up Government
17	Exhibit 1, please, page 1?
18	AV TECHNICIAN: (Technician complies.)
19	MR. GERSEN: Thank you.
20	BY MR. GERSEN:
21	Q I think you said that Caryn told you
22	about ways that J.P. was asserting control; is
23	that right?
24	A Yes.
25	Q Do you remember her telling you that

1	
1	J.P. became emotional when she told him that
2	eventually she wanted to work out of the Asheville
3	office?
4	A Emotional in in what way? Anger?
5	Surprise? Tearful? I need I need more
6	clarification.
7	Q Anger.
8	A Yes, I do remember that.
9	Q Was did she describe him as tearful?
10	A No.
11	Q She described him as angry when she
12	told him that she eventually wanted to move to the
13	Asheville office to work somewhere away from
14	there?
15	A Yes. And I recall a couple of examples
16	when he became very angry at her and sort of
17	lashed out at her, and, again, I I took that as
18	some evidence of his desire to control her and the
19	situation.
20	Q And would it have made you nervous at
21	all about Asheville as a solution to the control?
22	In other words, would simply moving
23	offices eliminate the problem if J.P. was still in
24	control and overseeing her?
25	A No, that would not have solved the

1	problem. In my mind, that employee/employer or
2	manager relationship needed to be broken, and she
3	needed to report to someone else.
4	Q Understood.
5	And just to be clear, the Government's
6	asked you to speculate a lot, but these aren't
7	your notes, correct?
8	A The notes in front of me?
9	Q Correct.
10	A They are not. They are from what I
11	understand, they are Caryn's notes.
12	Q So you don't actually know what Caryn
13	meant, of course?
14	A I don't. I don't. I can only make a
15	reasoned determination of what she meant.
16	But, also, part of what I see refreshes
17	my memory about that initial conversation.
18	Q Can you say a little more about that?
19	A Well, I remember I mean, I remember
20	telling her I was the manager of the civil rights
21	office for the federal courts and for
22	AO employees.
23	I remember telling her that I had been
24	a law clerk and that I had a number of different
25	positions over the years in civil rights and

EEO compliance.
And I remember telling her, as I often
did with employees, that my office was neutral.
It was not my job to represent either management
or the complainant complainant or to give legal
advice to managers.
Q Understood.
Did Caryn contemplate other solutions
besides moving locations?
A I honestly can't remember that.
I know that she was very uncomfortable
with being in the physical in the physical
office with Mr. Davis, and so I I believe that
any acceptable solution so that her job duties
remained the same or equivalent and yet she was
not subject to that supervision would have been
appropriate, and that's what we looked for.
Q Under understood.
MR. GERSEN: Could we see Government
Exhibit 3 again, please, page 3?
AV TECHNICIAN: (Technician complies.)
BY MR. GERSEN:
Q Does this refresh your memory at all
about other alternatives that Caryn considered?
A Yes.

1	Q How so?
2	A Well, she says here that I was going to
3	talk to my boss and look at solutions such as a
4	lateral transfer as a research and writing
5	attorney or the appellate AFD offer, and
6	Q And the line, the lateral transfer as a
7	research and writing position, would that be an
8	advanced a career-advancing position, or the
9	same?
10	A I think that would be the same. That
11	was what the office that or the position that
12	she held at the time.
13	MR. GERSEN: Okay. You can take down
14	the exhibit. Thank you.
15	AV TECHNICIAN: (Technician complies.)
16	BY MR. GERSEN:
17	Q Did Caryn ask you to talk to other
18	people in the AO on her behalf?
19	A She never suggested, I do not believe,
20	that I talk to anyone at the AO, other than she
21	knew that I had talked to Laura Minor, and I
22	assume asked Laura Minor to contact me.
23	My conversations with AO employees were
24	entirely my decisions, but who I thought could
25	help in this situation or had responsibilities in

1	this situation.
2	Q And so you did that at your own
3	directive or initiative; is that correct?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Did you talk to Cait Clarke about her?
6	A I did.
7	Q And you said, I think, that Cait Clarke
8	gave you information about the office and some of
9	the parties; is that correct?
10	A She did. She had she had a lot of
11	background with that particular office and the
12	parties involved, and she told me what she knew.
13	Q And what was that?
14	A Well, all I can remember is generally
15	that there had been problems in that office
16	previously, and she had described to me some of
17	the problems.
18	I can't even tell you exactly what they
19	were, but they they involved her in her
20	position as one of the senior officials at the AO,
21	and so I think she may have even warned me to be
22	careful about the situation and to treat it
23	delicately.
24	Q And how did you interpret what she
25	meant by be careful with the situation?

1	A I think that Cait Clarke believed that
2	she had been retaliated against or had some
3	negative comments made to her bosses by that
4	office, and I think she was warning me just to be
5	careful.
6	And now that I see the e-mail that I
7	saw for the first time today from Mr. Ishida, I
8	understand why she had concerns.
9	Q Cait Clarke believed that she had been
10	retaliated against by the Fourth Circuit?
11	A That that well, that that
12	that I'll say that generally, yes, that she had
13	been retaliated against by various people in the
14	Fourth Circuit based on her handling of
15	situations, and I do not remember the details.
16	Q And by handle it delicately, do you
17	have a sense of what she meant?
18	A I think she meant really talk to only
19	the people who can do something about it.
20	Don't don't don't say more than you
21	absolutely need to say, and just watch your back.
22	Q Watch your back?
23	A (No verbal response.)
24	Q To your knowledge, did Cait Clarke get
25	information about the Western District of

1	North Carolina Public federal public defender's
2	office from Caryn, or was it her Cait's prior
3	knowledge?
4	A No. This was I mean, in part, it
5	must have been from Caryn well, I don't know.
6	Caryn never I don't think Caryn ever talked to
7	Cait directly.
8	But, no, this was primarily Cait's own
9	interactions professionally over the years with
10	that office.
11	Q And what about Lee Ann Bennett?
12	A Lee Ann Bennett, before she was the
13	deputy director, worked in one of the southern
14	EDR offices or one of the southern she was a
15	circuit executive. She was a circuit a senior
16	person in one of the southern courts, and so she
17	knew the parties that were involved too because
18	the [indecipherable] of the courts tend to know
19	each other, and and staff tends to know each
20	other.
21	Q And did she give you any warning about
22	the parties?
23	A She did not.
24	Q Okay. I think counsel asked you about
25	how you knew about the various allegations, these

1	allegations, and you said through Caryn and Cait
2	and other sources.
3	Is that fair or correct?
4	A Yes, yes.
5	Q I don't want to misstate it.
6	A No, that's correct.
7	Q And did you ever independently
8	investigate those claims or claims like these?
9	A No. That would not have
10	Q Was that your role?
11	A That would not have been my role.
12	Q In the summer of 2018 while this was
13	unfolding, did you advise Caryn to try to resolve
14	her claims informally?
15	A Yes, I believe I did.
16	Q And why did you advise that?
17	A Well, because the principle that you
18	know, early resolution of complaints is the
19	preferred method so that things don't get worse
20	and so the and so it can be a resolution that
21	is better for everyone, including the employee.
22	Q Better for everyone than?
23	A Than continuing to live and work in an
24	unhappy work environment and possibly suffering
25	retaliation to one's career.

```
So you would be worried, given your
1
2
     experience, that there might be retaliation and
3
    harm to one's career for pursuing --
4
          Α
                No, I --
5
                (The Reporter clarified the record.)
6
    BY MR. GERSEN:
7
                -- for pursuing an employment
          0
8
     discrimination claim within the judiciary?
9
          Α
                Yes, that -- in every workplace I've
10
     advised and/or worked in, that is a reality, and I
     think even at the EEOC when -- you know, there
11
12
     were instances where managers retaliated against
    people who brought complaints. That has -- that
13
    has been a fact of life in the executive branch,
14
15
     in the judiciary, and in any other situations that
16
     I've become familiar with by reading.
17
                Retaliation is a fact of life, and I
18
     think that a person contemplating a formal process
    has to be aware of that.
19
20
                Are you aware that Caryn alleged that
          Q
2.1
     she was retaliated against by her supervisor, by
22
     Tony Martinez?
2.3
                I would not be surprised to hear that.
2.4
                You would -- would you not be surprised
          Q
25
     if, in fact, there were retaliation?
```

A I would not be surprised.
Q You testified repeatedly that employees
regularly contact your office
A Yes.
Q with concerns about sexual
harassment; is that correct?
A Yes.
Q Do they sometimes, those employees,
contact your office about harassment or
discrimination before bringing their concerns to a
supervisor in their own office?
A Yes.
Q And why might they do that, or what are
some reasons that employees contact your office
rather than going to their immediate supervisor or
unit executive?
A Well, because they want they want
somebody to hear their concerns. They want to
know what the law says about their concerns.
They they get comfort by talking to somebody
who is experienced in dealing with complaints of
discrimination and harassment.
Q And is one reason they might contact
your office before their immediate supervisor a
worry or concern about retaliation?

1	A Yeah, I would think so.
2	Q And what might that retaliation look
3	like? What are some forms of retaliation that
4	you've seen?
5	A Oh, my goodness. I think I've seen
6	everything, and this is, again, not not the
7	judiciary in particular, but I have seen people
8	fired, people's workplace duties diminished,
9	people employees ostracized.
10	Just every possible negative that you
11	could imagine happening in the workplace, I have
12	seen examples of that.
13	Q So diminished job responsibilities, for
14	example?
15	A Yes.
16	Q And negative comments about them
17	formally or informally?
18	A Yes. All of a sudden, stellar
19	performance reviews turn into either mediocre or
20	actually negative reviews.
21	Q Could retaliation or a form of
22	retaliation be negative comments about reaching
23	out for help to an office like yours?
24	A I would think so, yes.
25	Q What about not allowing an employee to

1	take notes during a meeting, at a meeting about
2	harassment, for example?
3	A Well, if I were told about that, I
4	would tell a manager that the employee has every
5	right to takes notes in a meeting about harassment
6	or discrimination.
7	Q So in your view, it would actually be a
8	deprivation of that employee's rights?
9	A I think that is true.
10	Q Thank you.
11	You mentioned that in talking to to
12	OGC or the General Counsel about the case that
13	they had concerns as well; is that correct?
14	A Yes. I recall very early on having
15	conversations with OGC, and, yes, they they had
16	concerns.
17	Q And were you at all worried about
18	bringing the case to OGC given that they are
19	defensive, as you put it?
20	A Well, I didn't bring it to OGC
21	specifically. I believe that the deputy director
22	invited me to meetings with OGC to discuss this
23	case, and that was a normal way to handle
24	discrimination and harassment complaints.
25	Q And who was the deputy director again?

1	
1	A Lee Ann Bennett.
2	Q Lee Ann Bennett.
3	So Lee Ann Bennett invited you to a
4	meeting to discuss the Caryn Devins matter?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Yes? Okay.
7	And what were the concerns that they
8	raised?
9	A Well, again, any any allegation of
10	sexual harassment is of concern, and certainly
11	someone who had Caryn's background and had been,
12	for example, a U.S. Supreme Court Fellow, a law
13	clerk to a U.S. District Judge and a Circuit Court
14	of Appeals Judge, and was generally very highly
15	thought of, that would be of concern to, I think,
16	senior leadership at the AO, and in this case, it
17	was.
18	Q And okay. And how would you
19	describe their let's just say, why were they
20	concerned?
21	A Well, I think they were concerned that
22	eventually this might lead to a public disclosure
23	or litigation or a judgment against the judiciary.
24	Q They were worried about public
25	disclosure, litigation, and judgment against the

1	judiciary?
2	A Yes. And also, again, Lee Ann Bennett
3	and the director, as I've testified, were
4	individuals who were always concerned about doing
5	the right thing, and so that played into their
6	concerns as well.
7	Q And by "judgment against the
8	judiciary," do you mean a judgment at the end
9	of do you mean a judgment against J.P. or Tony
10	Martinez?
11	A No. I mean against that office or, you
12	know, to the extent the AO was involved, against
13	the AO.
14	Q And by "that office," you mean the
15	federal public defender?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And or the AO.
18	And by judgment, did you mean public
19	condemnation, or an award at the end of
20	litigation?
21	A Either.
22	Q Who else do you remember was at the
23	meeting that Lee Ann invited you to attend?
24	A I think it was OGC, the deputy
25	director, and me. I think that was that was

1	it.
2	Q And could you give me their names just
3	for the record?
4	A Sure. Sheryl Walter, the former
5	general counsel of the AO; and me; and Lee Ann
6	Bennett, the deputy director.
7	Q Thank you.
8	And you've talked about that meeting
9	and their concerns in somewhat general terms.
10	Do you recall the deputy director's
11	response or what they wanted to do, what they felt
12	should be done?
13	A Well, the deputy director definitely
14	supported my involvement in trying to settle the
15	matter informally, and she was prepared to support
16	that as well, and I believe her other concern was
17	protection of the employee so that there was no
18	future retaliation or discrimination or
19	harassment.
20	Q And was there disagreement about how to
21	proceed?
22	MS. McMAHON: And I'll just object
23	quickly.
24	To the extent that you would be
25	speaking about any sort of advice that came from

1	OGC to either you or Lee Ann, please do not
2	answer.
3	THE WITNESS: I understand.
4	BY MR. GERSEN:
5	Q I'll just ask one more time.
6	Were you a client of OGC?
7	A No, although as I as I said, there
8	were times in my role as a manager that I would
9	consult with OGC, because it was their job to
10	defend me in my capacity making employment
11	decisions as a manager.
12	Q In this meeting or this matter, were
13	you a client of OGC?
14	A No, I don't believe so. There's no way
15	to reasonably suggest that.
16	MS. McMAHON: To be to be clear,
17	Lee Ann Bennett was also in the meeting, who is a
18	client of OGC.
19	MR. GERSEN: Understood.
20	THE WITNESS: Correct.
21	MR. GERSEN: A third-party presence I
22	think would probably breach the privilege, no?
23	MS. McMAHON: We would have to consult
24	with the individuals involved to be specific about
25	that, but I the witness to be safe, maybe

1	the witness doesn't answer about specific advice
2	that's given.
3	Does that work?
4	MR. GERSEN: Yeah, why don't we note
5	that, and we can come back to the issue if we need
6	to at a later at another time.
7	BY MR. GERSEN:
8	Q So can you to the extent that you
9	could answer the question without revealing
10	specific advice that was specific legal advice
11	that was given?
12	A And what was the question again?
13	Q I think the question was: Was there
14	disagreement about how to proceed?
15	A In in my initial meetings, no, there
16	was not disagreement.
17	Q And what was the decision about how to
18	proceed from those initial meetings?
19	A Well, that that OGC would contact
20	the managers and provide counsel to them, that I
21	would continue to attempt to informally resolve
22	the matter and to give Caryn advice on the
23	processes she had at her disposal disposal, and
24	that we would continue to discuss.
25	Q And was there anything especially

1	unusual about that decision to proceed in that
2	way?
3	A No. It happened more times than I can
4	count.
5	Q It was very much the norm or a normal
6	way to proceed in a case like this?
7	A Exactly. And when I say countless
8	times, the managers were different. I mean, it
9	wasn't always the deputy director. It might have
10	been an office head or another manager, but that
11	was perfectly usual proceedings where OGC, my
12	office, and a manager of an office would sit down
13	and discuss next steps.
14	Q And would it be a breach of your
15	obligation or responsibilities if you hadn't done
16	so, do you think?
17	A I think it would have been.
18	Q Thank you.
19	You mentioned that you had a very
20	positive relationship with Cait Clarke, I think,
21	professionally?
22	A Yes.
23	Q And you had confidence that she would
24	do the right thing?
25	A Yes.

1	Q And what did you mean by "do the right
2	thing"?
3	A Well, she was about to contact the
4	managers that were involved, and I had every
5	confidence that she would communicate to them that
6	they needed to be aware of the laws that protect
7	employees and to do the appropriate thing and not
8	to retaliate. I assume that's part of the
9	discussion she had with them.
10	Q So Caryn's case wasn't treated
11	unusually or specially or differently?
12	A No.
13	Q And after after this meeting, can
14	you describe what you or your office did in the
15	next next steps?
16	A Right. Well, it wasn't one meeting.
17	It was probably multiple meetings with OGC, the
18	deputy director, and me, and I continued to try to
19	resolve the case informally, and I believe that
20	Amaal Scroggins was also advising the EDR program
21	on how to proceed in terms of doing doing
22	giving the employee access to the process that she
23	was entitled to.
24	Q And you have, I take it, quite a lot of
25	experience with the EDR process?

1	A Well, yes. I mean, I that was
2	probably something I worked on every day of the
3	five years I was at the AO. We just we were
4	there as a resource for judiciary employees,
5	managers, and those who were involved in the
6	EDR process.
7	Q Great. Thank you.
8	MR. GERSEN: I think it's a good time
9	for us to take a quick break.
10	THE WITNESS: Okay.
11	(A recess was taken.)
12	BY MR. GERSEN:
13	Q Okay. When Caryn first reached out to
14	you, she had not started an EDR process; is that
15	correct?
16	A Yes, that's my recollection.
17	Q She had started that process in
18	approximately September; is that your
19	recollection?
20	A Somewhere around that time, yes.
21	Q And counsel asked you about an
22	interview Caryn had with Heather Beam at the end
23	of August earlier.
24	Do you recall that?
25	A Yes. I do remember Caryn telling me

1	about it and Heather reporting it, yes.
2	Q And when Caryn reached out to you do
3	you know what that interview was about?
4	A You mean with Heather Beam?
5	Q Yes.
6	A I think she was she had
7	responsibilities in the early part of the
8	EDR process.
9	Q And if Caryn hadn't started an
10	EDR process, do you know who had started an
11	EDR process?
12	A I don't understand that question.
13	Q Sure.
14	At the time Caryn reached out to you, I
15	believe you said that she had not started an
16	EDR process.
17	A Correct.
18	Q And, indeed, you had advised her to try
19	to resolve the her concerns informally
20	A Right.
21	Q before going down that formal
22	process; is that correct?
23	A Right. Yeah, that's what I recall.
24	Q And at that time, she had no awareness
25	of any ongoing EDR investigation?

1	A About you mean in her case?
2	Q In her case.
3	A No, I don't believe she did.
4	Q Because she had not initiated that
5	process; is that correct?
6	A Right. That's my recollection.
7	Q And you weren't aware of any ongoing
8	EDR process at that time either; is that correct?
9	A That's correct.
10	Q And did you know that Tony Martinez had
11	initiated a Chapter IX proceeding under the
12	EDR Plan involving these allegations?
13	A No, I don't think I did.
14	Q And did you have any sense or knowledge
15	that Caryn knew that?
16	A If I didn't know it, I I don't think
17	she would have known it, because she told me
18	virtually everything that she thought was relevant
19	to her case.
20	Q Thank you.
21	And so none of the activities or
22	conduct or steps that your office took or you took
23	could possibly have been interfering with or
24	intended to interfere with an EDR process?
25	A No, absolutely not.

1	Q Thank you.
2	And I believe you said earlier that you
3	did not have any contact with Tony Martinez after
4	Caryn contacted you; is that correct?
5	A That's correct. I have no recollection
6	about either talking to or otherwise interacting
7	with Tony Martinez.
8	Q Great. Thank you.
9	I want to talk for a moment about
10	Heather Beam.
11	A Yes.
12	Q Okay. My understanding from your
13	testimony is that there were at least two calls
14	between you or Amaal and Heather Beam?
15	A Yes.
16	Q In one of those calls, it sounds
17	like as though Heather reached out to your
18	office or to Amaal; is that correct?
19	A Correct.
20	Q And can you tell me why she reached
21	out?
22	A I think she was asking Amaal, you know,
23	some process questions, and and I believe, to
24	be to be clear, I believe that Heather was, in
25	essence, the EEO counselor in the EDR process,

1	similar to what takes place in the executive
2	branch and in the AO.
3	So she I believe she was taking
4	information and creating a report that would
5	would basically start the process.
6	Q For those of us who aren't quite as
7	steeped in this, can you explain exactly or a
8	bit more about what that office or her role
9	would be?
10	A Well, an EEO counselor in the federal
11	executive branch and in in cases with the
12	AO would be the very first step in initiating a
13	complaint.
14	You cannot file a complaint without
15	going to an EEO counselor first, and I believe
16	that was Heather's role in the early part of this
17	process.
18	Q So Heather's role was similar to the
19	EEO counselor in the executive branch in the
20	A I believe that is correct.
21	Q And in that capacity and then she
22	also became the Chapter IX investigator in the
23	investigation; is that correct?
24	A I think that's correct, but, again, I
25	had very little interactions with her other than

1	those two phone calls early on in the process.
2	Q Would in the executive branch
3	A And, in fact, I was not a part of the
4	first phone call, that was Amaal, and then I had
5	very little interaction with her other than that
6	one call that Amaal and I were on together with
7	Heather.
8	Q And is it normal for the EEO counselor
9	or person in that initial role to subsequently
10	serve as the investigator of the complaint?
11	A Typically, I don't think that happened.
12	I mean, in in at EEOC, in the
13	federal executive branch, in our AO process for
14	AO employees, typically the EEO counselor begins
15	the process, but you the process of
16	investigation is done by a trained
17	EEO investigator, and I don't know that I've ever
18	seen a situation where the EEO counselor served as
19	the investigator.
20	Q So that would be very unusual?
21	A I think that it I've never seen it.
22	Q And would it be concerning if it
23	occurred?
24	A No, I can't say it would, but I just
25	it's very unusual.

1	Q Understood.
2	Okay. In that first call with Amaal
3	and Heather Beam, do you know what Amaal told her,
4	told Heather?
5	A I only recall slightly that Amaal came
6	to me and said I received a phone call from this
7	person, and I believe this person may be involved
8	in the Charlotte case, meaning Caryn Devins
9	Strickland's case.
10	And so Amaal thought that, you know, we
11	should discuss that with Heather since I had been
12	involved with talking to Caryn.
13	Q So Amaal did not disclose that during
14	the conversation?
15	A I don't think she did in the beginning,
16	because she didn't know that that's what the
17	situation was. I think when she and I talked
18	about it, then she realized, oh, yes, that's
19	that's the Charlotte case Nancy's been working on.
20	Q So at this point, did you know that
21	Heather was the investigator?
22	A I think at that point, I knew Heather
23	was the EDR counselor
24	Q The EDR counselor in that she
25	A which which has some informal

1	investigatory role.
2	Q Got it.
3	And is that a is that EEO counselor
4	with respect to Chapter X of the EDR, or is it
5	just general?
6	A I'm not sure.
7	Q Okay. Thank you.
8	A I'm not sure. I don't recall any
9	longer the chapters in the EDR process, but I do
10	know that early on in the process, an EDR
11	EDR counseling is done, and I believe that Heather
12	was that person.
13	Q And there was no in your impression
14	or understanding, there was no EDR process going
15	on at that point that you were aware of?
16	A Not formal, because the the
17	complaint process sort of triggers the formal
18	complaint.
19	Q Understood.
20	A The the counseling aspect is an
21	early informal part of how one handles an
22	EDR complaint.
23	Q And in that second conversation you
24	described
25	A Yes.

1	Q where I think you said you discussed
2	all of these issues, what was said during that
3	conversation?
4	A Well, again, I believe that we informed
5	Heather that the matter she had talked about with
6	Amaal was also something that had been brought to
7	my attention by the employee and that I was
8	working with AO managers and others on how to
9	assist in the case.
10	Q And was there anything threatening
11	about the call?
12	A I didn't think there was.
13	Q Did you or Amaal give any directives to
14	Heather Beam?
15	A Never, and we had no authority to give
16	directives to a judiciary employee.
17	Q Did you give any ultimatums to Heather
18	Beam?
19	A I did not, nor did I hear Amaal do any
20	such thing.
21	Q Was there anything out of the ordinary
22	about that call?
23	A I don't believe so.
24	Q Thank you.
25	And did you ever talk to Tony Martinez

1	about that call?
2	A No.
3	Q Did you ever talk to J.P. Davis about
4	that call?
5	A No.
6	Q Okay. Thank you.
7	Did you ever have any contact or
8	communication with James Ishida about this case?
9	A I cannot recall. He could have been
10	involved with a call between the Office of General
11	Counsel and Lee Ann Bennett and me, but I have no
12	specific recollection of that. It's something
13	that could have happened.
14	I mean, typically, we would often get
15	on the phone with with people who were in a
16	position of authority when there was a case of
17	discrimination or harassment being alleged, and I
18	knew James Ishida, but I I it could have
19	happened. I don't recall it.
20	Q So you knew James Ishida some?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Did he ever ask you if you were Caryn's
23	friend?
24	A No.
25	Q Did he ever ask you if you tried to

1	obstruct this investigation?
2	A No. As I mentioned, the e-mail that
3	the Department of Justice showed me I think you
4	showed me maybe was the first time I had ever
5	seen those concerns by James Ishida.
6	Q And how did you know James Ishida?
7	A Well, I regularly interacted with
8	circuit executives in all the circuits. We went
9	to training with them, we when there was an
10	issue they needed advice on, they would often call
11	me directly, and so I knew of him.
12	James Ishida also at one point sued the
13	Department of General Counsel at the AO, so I was
14	made aware of that situation. So he was sort of a
15	notable individual.
16	Q Why did he sue?
17	A I can't remember, but there was a case
18	that he was involved with where he sued general
19	counsel based on how they had handled that case,
20	which I didn't think he was entitled to do, but
21	that was the reason it was sort of notable because
22	it was out of ordinary to sue sue your own
23	general counsel's office.
24	Q Okay. Why do you think he would not
25	have reached out to you to verify this allegation

-	
1	about you?
2	A Well, I I suspect he would have
3	known what I would say.
4	Q And what is that?
5	A Well, they were very damaging
6	accusations, and I would expect that I would have
7	been upset had I heard about it.
8	Q And did you know Chief Judge Gregory?
9	A No, I did not. I don't think I've ever
10	had any direct contact with him.
11	He could have been at a conference that
12	I was at, but I I don't recall ever speaking to
13	him.
14	Q So he didn't ever reach out to verify
15	or check on these allegations?
16	A No, not with not with me.
17	Q And you don't know if Ishida ever
18	corrected these allegations to Chief
19	Judge Gregory?
20	A I do not.
21	Q You said at the end of your testimony
22	this afternoon with Ms. McMahon that you didn't
23	have any reason to doubt that the investigation
24	and EDR process was being done in an appropriate

1	Do you recall saying that?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Was there a time where you did have
4	did come to have concerns?
5	A No, I can't say that I ever reached
6	that conclusion. Again, I I left the agency at
7	a time period that this would have been still very
8	early on in the process, and so I I can't say
9	any more than what I've said.
10	Q Thank you.
11	MR. GERSEN: I want to thank you very
12	much for your time and your candor and being with
13	us this afternoon, and it was very nice to meet
14	you.
15	THE WITNESS: You too.
16	I do need to you asked me to forward
17	the e-mails from the DOJ lawyers to me asking me
18	to be a part of this deposition, and I want to
19	make sure, first of all, that Maddie does not have
20	any objections to my doing so, and then I if
21	not, then I need your e-mail address.
22	THE REPORTER: Am I still on the
23	record?
24	MR. GERSEN: I think we can
25	THE WITNESS: Yes.

1	MR. GERSEN: Yes, this is still on the
2	record.
3	MS. McMAHON: We have no objection.
4	THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you, Maddie.
5	MR. GERSEN: Thank you.
6	THE WITNESS: Okay. So your e-mail
7	address, Professor Gersen?
8	MR. GERSEN: Is jacob.gersen,
9	G-E-R-S-E-N
10	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
11	MR. GERSEN: @gersen.com.
12	(The Reporter clarified the record.)
13	THE WITNESS: Okay. I'll send those
14	later.
15	THE REPORTER: Ms. McMahon, do you have
16	any other questions?
17	MS. McMAHON: We don't, no.
18	(Discussion off the record.)
19	THE REPORTER: So, Ms. McMahon, do you
20	need regular delivery or expedite?
21	MS. McMAHON: I think expedited
22	delivery, please.
23	THE REPORTER: When would you like it?
24	MS. McMAHON: What are the options?
25	THE REPORTER: You can get it in five

```
1
    business days, four business days, three business
2
    days, two business days.
                MS. McMAHON: Five business days would
3
4
    be great.
5
                THE REPORTER: And, Mr. Gersen, do you
6
    need a copy of the transcript?
7
                MR. GERSEN: Yes, please.
8
                THE REPORTER: Do you need regular
9
    delivery or expedite?
10
                MR. GERSEN: Do we need regular?
11
    think we need -- I don't think we need expedited.
12
     I think regular should be fine.
13
                (Discussion off the record.)
                AV TECHNICIAN: Would you like the
14
15
    exhibits attached to the transcript?
16
                MS. McMAHON: That's not necessary for
17
    us.
18
                AV TECHNICIAN: Okay.
                MR. GERSEN: Or for us.
19
20
                AV TECHNICIAN: Okay. Thank you.
     That's all I need.
2.1
22
                (Off the record at 8:09 p.m.)
23
24
25
```

1	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT
2	I, NANCY DUNHAM, do hereby acknowledge
3	that I have read and examined the foregoing
4	testimony, and the same is a true, correct
5	and complete transcription of the testimony
6	given by me and any corrections that appear
7	on the attached Errata Sheet signed by me.
8	
9	
10	
11	(DATE) (SIGNATURE)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER - NOTARY PUBLIC
2	I, Marney Alena Mederos, the officer
3	before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do
4	hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a
5	true and correct record of the testimony given;
6	that said testimony was taken by me
7	stenographically and thereafter reduced to
8	typewriting under my direction; that reading and
9	signing was requested; and that I am neither
10	counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of
11	the parties to this case and have no interest,
12	financial or otherwise, in its outcome.
13	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
14	my hand and affixed my notarial seal this 23rd day
15	of April 2023.
16	My commission expires November 23, 2024
17	
18	magnezalenzhearo
19	
20	NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR
21	THE STATE OF MARYLAND
22	
23	
24	
25	